

Appendix
LAX Master Plan EIS/EIR

**H. Department of Transportation Act
Section 4(f) Report**

January 2001

Prepared for:

Los Angeles World Airports
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Aviation Administration

Prepared by:

PCR Services Corporation

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Applicable Regulatory Requirements.....	1
1.1.1	DOT Section 4(f).....	1
1.1.2	DOI Section 6(f)	1
1.2	The Proposed Action	2
1.3	Master Plan Alternatives	2
1.3.1	No-Action/No Project Alternative	2
1.3.2	Alternative A - Added Runway North	2
1.3.3	Alternative B - Added Runway South.....	3
1.3.4	Alternative C - No Additional Runway.....	3
2.0	Determination of Applicability of Section 4(f) Resources.....	3
2.1	Methodology.....	7
2.2	Direct Effects	8
2.2.1	Land Acquisition.....	8
2.3	Indirect Effects	8
2.3.1	Noise.....	8
2.3.2	Access	9
2.3.3	Visual	9
2.3.4	User Demand.....	9
2.3.5	Vibration.....	11
2.3.6	Ecological.....	11
3.0	Impacts to Section 4(f) Resources.....	11
3.1	Parks and Recreation Areas	12
3.2	Historic, Architectural, and Archaeological Resources	13
3.3	Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges.....	14
3.4	Findings	15
4.0	Impacts to Section 6(f) Resources.....	15
4.1	Site No. 10 - Dockweiler Beach State Park	15
4.2	Site No. 19 - Jesse Owens County Park.....	16
4.3	Site No. 29 - South Bay Bicycle Trail	16
4.4	Findings	16
5.0	Avoidance Alternatives.....	16
6.0	Measures to Minimize Harm/Mitigation.....	16
7.0	Coordination.....	16
8.0	References.....	17
8.1	Parks and Recreation	17
8.2	Historic/Architectural	17
8.3	Archaeological	19
9.0	List of Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	19

List of Tables

Table 1	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Park and Recreation Area Inventory.....	4
Table 2	Section 4(f) Historic Resources Inventory.....	7
Table 3	Land Use Compatibility Guidelines FAR Part 150	10
Table 4	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Effects—Alternative C.....	12

List of Figures

Figure 1	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources Within Study Area.....	5
----------	--	---

Attachments

Attachment 1	LAX Master Plan 4(f) Park Inventory Forms
Attachment 2	L&WCF Act 6(f) Funded Parks, Parks Funding Database

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) are preparing an Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) to address the potential environmental effects associated with three alternative proposals from implementation for the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) Master Plan. This report has been prepared as a supporting appendix for the EIS/EIR.

1.1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements

The purpose of this Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) and Department of Interior (DOI) Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (L&WCF) Act Section 6(f) evaluation is to identify and evaluate the potential impacts to Section 4(f) and 6(f) resources resulting from implementation of the proposed LAX Master Plan build alternatives. This evaluation addresses direct and indirect impacts to public parks, recreation areas, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and historic sites within the study area. The study area for the analysis includes Section 4(f) and L&WCF 6(f) resources within and adjacent to existing and proposed LAX boundaries as well as areas within the Master Plan build alternatives' combined 65 decibel (dB) community noise equivalent level (CNEL) noise contour.

1.1.1 DOT Section 4(f)

Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation (DOT) Act of 1966, (recodified as amended at 49 USC Section 303(c)) permits use of land from a publicly-owned park, recreation area, wildlife or waterfowl refuge, or public or privately-owned historic site of national, state, or local significance¹ for a transportation project only when the Secretary of Transportation has determined that there is no feasible and prudent alternative to such use and the project includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property resulting from such use.

If it is determined that LAWA's Preferred Alternative (Alternative C) would result in a use or constructive use of a Section 4(f) resource, then a Section 4(f) evaluation would be completed. If it is determined that use of Section 4(f) resources would occur, the FAA must then determine that no feasible and prudent alternatives exist and that all possible mitigation has been incorporated into the project. "Use," within the meaning of Section 4(f), occurs when a project requires a physical taking or other direct control of the land for the purpose of the project. For example, acquiring and developing a portion of a park to build a transportation improvement would be considered a use.

Use also includes adverse indirect impacts, or "constructive use."² A constructive use may occur when impacts substantially impair the activities, features or attributes of the resource that contribute to its significance or its enjoyment.³ Substantial impairment occurs when the activities, features or attributes of a resource are substantially diminished. For example, if building a roadway in the area would significantly increase noise levels at a park with an outdoor amphitheater and would substantially impair the use of the amphitheater, the roadway may represent a constructive use, even though there would be no acquisition or development within the park.

1.1.2 DOI Section 6(f)

This evaluation also addresses the potential for the project to result in the conversion of park and recreation lands funded through the Department of the Interior (DOI) Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (L&WCF Act). The fund, which is managed by the Director of the National Park Service (NPS) on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior, provides money to Federal agencies, states, or a state's designee to purchase land and develop outdoor recreation resources and facilities for public use. Section 6(f) of the L&WCF Act requires that all properties acquired or developed with L&WCF Act assistance be maintained in public outdoor recreation use in perpetuity or be suitably replaced. If such a change, from recreational to non-recreational use were to occur, it would be a "conversion" under the L&WCF Act.

¹ For purposes of this analysis, publicly-owned parks, recreation areas, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and public or privately-owned historic sites of national, state, or local significance are collectively referred to as "Section 4(f) resources."

² Federal standards regarding "use" and "constructive use" are set forth in agency regulations and guidelines as well as federal case law.

³ FAA Order 1050.1D, Change 4, Attachment 2, Paragraph 5(b)(4).

1.2 The Proposed Action

LAX is located in the southwest portion of Los Angeles County adjacent to the Santa Monica Bay and fourteen miles southwest of downtown Los Angeles. It is bounded by the communities of Westchester and Playa del Rey on the north; on the south by Imperial Highway and the City of El Segundo and the community of Del Aire; on the east by Aviation Boulevard, the City of Inglewood and the community of Lennox; and on the west by Vista del Mar Street, Dockweiler State Beach and the Santa Monica Bay. Encompassing 3,641 acres within the City of Los Angeles, LAX constitutes a large industrial district presently made up of the following facilities and uses:

- ◆ 4 runways;
- ◆ 4 million square feet of passenger terminal space, occupied by 9 terminals and 133 aircraft gates;
- ◆ 197 acres of cargo area including 2 million feet of building space;
- ◆ 364 acres of ancillary space including 30 acres of Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) administrative and support facilities;
- ◆ 28,000 on-airport parking stalls; and
- ◆ 900 acres of open space, including 307 acres of LAX/El Segundo Dunes.

Land uses surrounding the airport are primarily residential (both single and multi-family), commercial, industrial (largely airport-related), recreational, or transportation-related. The cities of Los Angeles, Inglewood, and El Segundo, and the County of Los Angeles have jurisdiction over various portions of the project area.

The purpose and objectives of the LAX Master Plan are to provide, in an environmentally sound manner that is compatible with surrounding land uses, sufficient airport capacity for passengers and freight in the Los Angeles metropolitan area to sustain and advance the economic growth and vitality of the Los Angeles region.

Federal funding for airfield and other public-use improvements may be requested from the Airport Improvement Program, a federal grant-in-aid program authorized by the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended,⁴ administered by the FAA and financed from the Aviation Trust Fund. FAA approval may also be requested for authority to use Passenger Facility Charges collected by the airlines directly from passengers using LAX.

1.3 Master Plan Alternatives

The FAA and LAWA are in the process of preparing an EIS/EIR to identify the potential environmental effects associated with the implementation of the proposed Master Plan improvements to LAX. Prior to preparing the EIS/EIR, a range of alternatives to the proposed project were analyzed to determine which alternatives might feasibly meet the Purpose and Need of the proposed project. As a result, the FAA and LAWA identified three alternative airfield concepts, Alternative A, Alternative B, and Alternative C, as representative of the range of reasonable; prudent; and feasible alternatives for LAX.

1.3.1 No-Action/No Project Alternative

Under the No Action/No Project Alternative, which is required to be evaluated under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), New development would be limited to projects with existing environmental approval or projects in the airport's capital improvement program.

1.3.2 Alternative A - Added Runway North

A new runway would be added to the north airfield complex. Existing runways would be lengthened and further separated from one another. New roadways, cargo facilities, and passenger terminal uses would be developed.

⁴ Recodified at Title 49 USC 47107 et seq.

1.3.3 Alternative B - Added Runway South

A new runway would be added to the south airfield complex and other runways would be lengthened and further separated from one another. New roadways, cargo facilities, and passenger terminal uses would be developed.

1.3.4 Alternative C - No Additional Runway

The existing four runways would be lengthened and further separated from one another. New roadways, cargo facilities, and passenger terminal uses would be developed, but the terminal facilities would be less extensive than under Alternatives A and B.

Alternative C has been selected as the Preferred Alternative as it is seen as providing the best balance between meeting aviation demand and minimizing impacts to the community and the environment.

2.0 DETERMINATION OF APPLICABILITY OF SECTION 4(F) RESOURCES

To identify potentially affected Section 4(f) resources within the study area, an inventory and evaluation of parks, recreation areas, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and historic sites was conducted. Initially, 32 potential park and recreation areas, one wildlife refuge, and seven historic sites were identified within the study area (see **Figure 1**, Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources Within Study Area). However, two recreational facilities within the study area that are owned by LAWA were not included in the inventory pursuant to FAA Order 5050.4A (Paragraph 47(e)(7)(3)), which exempts property from a Section 4(f) evaluation that is owned by and is currently designated for use by a transportation agency and is used as a park or recreation area on an interim basis. The two properties screened out of the Section 4(f) evaluation based on the exemption cited above are Carl E. Nielson Youth Park⁵ and Westchester Golf Course.⁶ Bikeways that are located along roadways that would be only temporarily affected during Master Plan construction activities were also excluded from this Section 4(f) analysis. As stated in the Federal Highway Administration's *Section 4(f) Policy Paper*, Section 4(f) does not apply to temporary construction easements.⁷ The names of each park within the study area by jurisdiction are listed in **Table 1**, Section 4(f) and 6(f) Park and Recreation Area Inventory, and keyed to **Figure 1**, Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources Within Study Area.

⁵ Per Replacement Lease between the City of Los Angeles and the Westchester-Playa Del Rey Youth Foundation, Inc., signed May 19, 1997.

⁶ Per Lease Number LAA-6410, as amended, between the City of Los Angeles and American Golf.

⁷ U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, *Section 4(f) Policy Paper*, September 2, 1987 (Revised June 7, 1989). Furthermore, it is unclear whether these bikeways would qualify for the protection of Section 4(f) as "recreation areas."

Table 1


Section 4(f) and 6(f) Park and Recreation Area Inventory

Number¹	Name	Jurisdiction
1	Acacia Park	City of El Segundo
2	Ashwood Park	City of Inglewood
3	Center Park	City of Inglewood
4	Circle Park	City of Los Angeles
5	Constitution Park	City of El Segundo
6	Darby Park	City of Inglewood
7	Del Aire Park	County of Los Angeles
8	Del Rey Lagoon	City of Los Angeles
9	Dockweiler Beach State Park ²	County of Los Angeles
10	Eucalyptus Park	City of Hawthorne
11	Grevillea Park	City of Inglewood
12	Hilltop Park	City of El Segundo
13	Holly Valley Park	City of El Segundo
14	Imperial Strip	City of El Segundo
15	Jesse Owens County Park ²	County of Los Angeles
16	Kansas Park	City of El Segundo
17	Lennox Park	County of Los Angeles
18	Library Park	City of El Segundo
19	Little Green Acres Park	City of Los Angeles
20	Maggie Hathaway Golf Course	County of Los Angeles
21	Queen Park	City of Inglewood
22	Recreation Park	City of El Segundo
23	Rogers Park	City of Inglewood
24	Siminski Park	City of Inglewood
25	South Bay Bicycle Trail ²	County of Los Angeles
26	St. Andrews Recreation Center	City of Los Angeles
27	Sutton Algin Recreation Center	City of Los Angeles
28	Sycamore Park	City of El Segundo
29	Vista del Mar Park	City of Los Angeles
30	Westchester Park Recreation Center	City of Los Angeles

¹ Numbers are keyed to Figure 1, Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources Within Study Area.

² Has received L&WCF assistance.

Source: PCR, 2000.



**Los Angeles International Airport
Master Plan**

Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources Within Study Area

Figure
1

Parks and recreation areas within the study area were identified through information obtained from agency correspondence, local street maps, and a tax assessor parcel-level Geographic Information System (GIS) database.⁸ A general park site inventory form was completed for each of the 30 sites. A detailed park site inventory form was completed for each site potentially affected by the proposed alternatives. The detailed form includes such information as the types of recreational uses and facilities, unusual characteristics (e.g., steep slopes, flooding), types of improvements in process and/or proposed improvements, park size, estimated number of visitors, types of access, and functional classification (e.g., neighborhood, community, or regional park). The completed general and detailed park inventory forms for each site are included in Attachment 1 of this evaluation.

As shown in **Figure 1**, there is one site within the study area considered to fall under the Section 4(f) definition of a wildlife refuge. This resource is the 203-acre El Segundo Blue Butterfly Habitat Restoration Area (Habitat Restoration Area). Located on the western edge of the LAX property, the City of Los Angeles set aside the area to protect and restore habitat that supports the federally endangered El Segundo blue butterfly.⁹ The Habitat Restoration Area is further described in Section 4.10, *Biotic Communities* and Section 4.11, *Endangered and Threatened Species of Flora and Fauna*, of the EIS/EIR.

Table 2, Section 4(f) Historic Resources Inventory, lists historic resources within the study area that are either on or have been identified as listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (keyed to **Figure 1**). The FAA's determination of historic properties and consultation with the California State Historic Preservation Officer and the Federal Highway Administration is currently ongoing and the results of the consultation will be included in the Final EIS/EIR. Section 4.9, *Historic/Architectural, Archaeological/Cultural, and Paleontological Resources*, of the EIS/EIR contains a detailed description of each of these historic resources.

Table 2
Section 4(f) Historic Resources Inventory

Number¹	Type	Jurisdiction	National Register Status
31	Merle Norman Headquarters Complex	City of Los Angeles (LAX)	Eligible ²
32	Academy Theatre	City of Inglewood	Eligible ²
33	Hangar One	City of Los Angeles (LAX)	Listed
34	Theme Building	City of Los Angeles (LAX)	Eligible ²
35	WW II Munitions Storage Bunker ³	City of Los Angeles (LAX)	Eligible ²
36	Centinela Adobe	City of Inglewood	Listed
37	Randy's Donuts	City of Inglewood	Eligible ²

¹ Numbers are keyed to Figure 1, Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources Within Study Area.

² Subject to concurrence with State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO).

³ As a contributor to a thematic district.

Source: PCR, 2000.

One archaeological site, CA-LAN-2345, is located within the study area, but is not included in **Figure 1** because archaeological sites are not subject to public disclosure pursuant to Title II Section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, to prevent harm and unauthorized disturbance of the sites. A description of this site is included in Section 4.9, *Historic/Architectural, Archaeological/Cultural, and Paleontological Resources*, of the EIS/EIR.

2.1 Methodology

The determination of use of Section 4(f) resources involved consideration of direct and indirect impacts associated with each of the Master Plan build alternatives with respect to the definitions of use and constructive use discussed below. In undertaking the analysis, the characteristics of the resources, and the types of activities and facilities potentially affected were considered. Direct effects were determined by

⁸ GIS database provided by Psomas, April 2000.

⁹ City of Los Angeles, Department of City Planning, Los Angeles Airport/EI Segundo Dunes Specific Plan (Ordinance No. 167,940), June 28, 1992, Amended by Ordinance No. 169,767, April 6, 1994.

evaluating land acquisition and the physical development of airport facilities proposed under the three build alternatives. The evaluation of indirect effects focused on projected noise, visual intrusions, or other effects that substantially impair the value or the site in terms of its environmental, recreational, ecological, or historical significance.

2.2 Direct Effects

The Master Plan alternatives were evaluated to determine whether use of Section 4(f) resources would occur. If it is determined that LAWA's Preferred Alternative (Alternative C) would result in a use or constructive use of a Section 4(f) resource following implementation of all possible measures to minimize harm, then a final Section 4(f) evaluation would be completed.

"Use," within the meaning of Section 4(f), occurs when the project requires a physical taking or other direct control of the land for the purpose of the project. For example, acquiring and developing a portion of a park or a historic site to build a road would be considered a "use." Another example of "use" is temporary occupancy of a property resulting in a change in use from a park or recreation area to a different type of use.

"Use" of an archaeological site would occur if a site has value for preservation in place were disturbed or destroyed. If it is determined that the archaeological resource is important chiefly because of what can be learned by data recovery and has more than minimal value for preservation in place, then a use would not occur under Section 4(f).

2.2.1 Land Acquisition

The proximity of each potential Section 4(f) resource to the proposed acquisition areas was evaluated to determine whether or not a Section 4(f) resource property acquisition would occur under each build alternative. Section 4(f) resources located within the area of proposed acquisition would be directly affected by the project. Local street maps and a GIS database along with the Master Plan project description (see Chapter 3, *Alternatives (Including Proposed Action)*, of the EIS/EIR) were used in the analysis to determine the extent of land acquisition and the potential direct impacts.¹⁰

2.3 Indirect Effects

"Use," pursuant to Section 4(f), also includes adverse indirect impacts or what is termed "constructive use." When applied to transportation projects developed near Section 4(f) resources, a constructive use may occur when impacts, due to proximity of the project, substantially impair the activities, features or attributes of the resource that contribute to its significance or enjoyment.¹¹ Substantial impairment occurs when the protected activities, features or attributes of a resource are substantially diminished. For example, if building a roadway in the areas would significantly increase noise levels at a park with an outdoor amphitheater and would substantially impair the use of the amphitheater, the roadway may represent a constructive use, even though there would be no acquisition or development within the park.

Based on FAA Order 5050.4A, paragraph 47e(7)(b), constructive use is defined as:

When there is no physical taking but there is the possibility of use of or adverse impacts to section 4(f) land, the FAA must determine if the activity associated with the proposal conflicts with or is compatible with the normal activity associated with this land. The proposed action is compatible if it would not affect the normal activity of aesthetic value of a public park, recreation area, refuge, or historic site. When so construed, the action would not constitute use and would not, therefore, invoke section 4(f) of the DOT Act.

2.3.1 Noise

FAA Land Use Compatibility Guidelines for Airport Noise Evaluation, as referenced in Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 150, are used to determine acceptable noise levels over those Section 4(f) lands involved that are dedicated to traditional recreation uses as categorized in FAR Part 150.

¹⁰ Based on preliminary engineering plans proposed for the LAX Expressway and improvements to State Route 1, it is possible that additional land acquisition may occur. The environmental consequences of these proposed transportation improvements are discussed in Appendix K, *Supplemental Environmental Evaluation for LAX Expressway and State Route 1 Improvements*.

¹¹ FAA Order 1050.1D, Change 4, Attachment 2, Paragraph 5(b)(4).

A constructive use to Section 4(f) resources due to aircraft noise may occur when:

- ◆ Noise exposure levels due to the proposed project exceed the FAA Land Use Compatibility Guidelines contained in FAR Part 150 (see **Table 3**, Land Use Compatibility Guidelines FAR Part 150), such that the value or normal use of the resource is substantially impaired.
- ◆ A determination is made through the Section 106 consultation process that the project will have an “effect” or an “adverse effect” upon sites that are listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places.

FHWA, who has jurisdiction relating to major transportation components of the project, provides guidance indicating that constructive use of a historic site may occur when the “projected noise level increase attributable to the project substantially interferes with the use and enjoyment of a noise sensitive facility of a resource protected by section 4(f).” This includes the “enjoyment of a historic site where a quiet setting is a generally recognized feature or attribute of the site’s significance”.¹² FAA Order 5050.4A, also indicates that constructive use could occur if the aesthetic value of an historic site is significantly impaired. Additionally, a Section 4(f) use of historic properties could occur as a result of noise Mitigation Measures that involve replacement of windows and/or elements of a structure, such that it no longer retains the qualities which make it eligible for listing in the National Register.

Projected noise levels for each Master Plan alternative were evaluated to determine if Section 4(f) resources would be adversely affected by future aircraft noise levels within the study area.¹³ This evaluation was based on the noise contours and grid point noise levels contained in Technical Report 1, *Land Use Technical Report*, and Appendix D, *Aircraft Noise Technical Report*, of the EIS/EIR.

2.3.2 **Access**

Potential changes to access were evaluated by comparing the existing roadway network and pedestrian routes with the proposed surface transportation system. Possible permanent changes in vehicle access to park facilities and pedestrian access to parks were evaluated to determine the potential for constructive use. Pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular access to parks and recreation areas is further evaluated in Section 4.14, *Coastal Zone Management and Coastal Barriers*, of the EIS/EIR.

2.3.3 **Visual**

Visual impacts were evaluated by comparing existing visual conditions with projected future conditions expected with implementation of the proposed Master Plan build alternatives, at each park and historic site location. (Section 4.21, *Design, Art and Architectural Application/Aesthetics*, of the EIS/EIR contains a detailed discussion of the LAX viewsheds and potential visual intrusions.) Changes in views attributable to the implementation of the Master Plan build alternatives that would substantially impair the value of park or historic sites were evaluated to identify the potential for constructive use.

2.3.4 **User Demand**

User demand effects were evaluated by noting land acquisition of residential properties in the vicinity of each park and considering the function and the current sufficiency/deficiency of facilities to serve the local residential population. Parks and recreation areas that would experience a substantial change in potential user demand as a result of the implementation of the Master Plan build alternatives such that the value or normal use of the site would be substantially impaired, would constitute a use.

User demand for parks and recreation areas would decrease as a result of implementation of the Master Plan alternatives as well as the No Action/No Project Alternative because up to approximately 160 acres of residential land would be acquired; thus, reducing the demand for park and recreation resources in an area currently considered deficient in those resources. Furthermore, there are no Section 4(f) parks or recreation areas with service areas currently encompassing the proposed residential acquisition areas. Therefore, no adverse effects to user demand would occur as a result of implementation of any of the Master Plan build alternatives or the No Action/No Project Alternative. (Section 4.26.3, *Parks and Recreation*, of the EIS/EIR contains a detailed discussion of user demand effects on parks and recreation facilities in the immediate LAX vicinity.)

¹² Federal Highway Administration, Technical Advisory T6640.8a, Guidance for Preparing and Processing Environmental and Section 4(f) Documents, Environmental Guidebook, Tab 2, Section (p)(4)(i).

¹³ Noise contours are illustrated in Section 4.2, *Land Use*.

H. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Report

Table 3
Land Use Compatibility Guidelines
FAR Part 150

Land Use	Yearly Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) in Decibels					
	Below 65	65-70	70-75	75-80	80-85	Over 85
Residential						
Residential, other than Mobile Homes and Transient Lodgings	Y	N1	N1	N	N	N
Mobile Home Parks	Y	N	N	N	N	N
Transient Lodgings	Y	N1	N1	N1	N	N
Public Use						
Schools, Hospitals, Nursing Homes	Y	25	30	N	N	N
Churches, Auditoriums, and Concert Halls	Y	25	30	N	N	N
Governmental Services	Y	Y	25	30	N	N
Transportation	Y	Y	Y2	Y3	Y4	N4
Parking	Y	Y	Y2	Y3	Y4	N
Commercial Use						
Offices, Business and Professional	Y	Y	25	30	N	N
Wholesale and Retail—Building Materials, Hardware, and Farm Equipment	Y	Y	Y2	Y3	Y4	N
Retail Trade, General	Y	Y	25	30	N	N
Utilities	Y	Y	Y2	Y3	Y4	N
Communication	Y	Y	25	30	N	N
MANUFACTURING AND PRODUCTION						
Manufacturing, General	Y	Y	Y2	Y3	Y4	N
Photographic and Optical	Y	Y	25	30	N	N
Agriculture (except livestock) and Forestry	Y	Y6	Y7	Y8	Y8	Y8
Livestock Farming and Breeding	Y	Y6	Y7	N	N	N
Mining and Fishing, Resource Production, and Extraction	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Recreational						
Outdoor Sports Arenas and Spectator Sports	Y	Y	Y5	N5	N	N
Outdoor Music Shells, Amphitheaters	Y	N	N	N	N	N
Nature Exhibits and Zoos	Y	Y	N	N	N	N
Amusement Parks, Resorts, and Camps	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N
Golf Courses, Riding Stables, and Water Recreation	Y	Y	25	30	N	N

The designations contained in this table do not constitute a Federal determination that any use of land covered by the program is acceptable under Federal, State, or local law. The responsibility for determining the acceptable and permissible land uses and the relationship between specific properties and specific noise contours rests with the local authorities. FAA determinations under Part 150 are not intended to substitute federally determined land uses for those determined to be appropriate by local authorities in response to locally determined needs and values in achieving noise compatible land uses.

Note: Nursing Homes and Hospitals, Convalescent are used interchangeably throughout this analysis.

Y (Yes) Land Use and related structures compatible without restrictions.

N (No) Land Use and related structures are not compatible and should be prohibited.

NLR Noise Level Reduction (outdoor to indoor) to be achieved through incorporation of noise attenuation into the design and construction of the structure.

25, 30, 35 Land Use and related structures generally compatible; measures to achieve or NLR of 25, 30, or 35dB must be incorporated into design and construction of structure.

¹ Where the community determines that residential or school uses must be allowed, measures to achieve outdoor to indoor Noise Level Reduction (NLR) of at least 25dB and 30dB should be incorporated into building codes and be considered in individual approvals. Normal residential construction can be expected to provide a NLR of 20dB, thus, the reduction requirements are often stated as 5, 10, or 15dB over standard construction and normally assume mechanical ventilation and closed windows year round. However, the use of NLR criteria will not eliminate outdoor noise problems.

² Measures to achieve NLR of 25dB must be incorporated into the design and construction of portions of these buildings where the public is received, office areas, noise sensitive areas, or where the normal noise level is low.

³ Measures to achieve NLR of 30dB must be incorporated into the design and construction of portions of these buildings where the public is received, office areas, noise sensitive areas, or where the normal noise level is low.

⁴ Measures to achieve NLR of 35dB must be incorporated into the design and construction of portions of these buildings where the public is received, office areas, noise sensitive areas, or where the normal noise level is low.

⁵ Land use compatible provided special sound reinforcement systems are installed.

⁶ Residential buildings require a NLR of 25.

⁷ Residential buildings require a NLR of 30.

⁸ Residential buildings not permitted.

Source: FAR Part 150

2.3.5 Vibration

Generally, fixed-wing, subsonic aircraft do not generate vibration levels of the frequency or intensity to result in damage to structures. It has been found that exposure to normal weather conditions, such as thunder and wind, usually have more potential to result in significant structural vibration than aircraft.¹⁴ Recent studies on aircraft operation vibration effects upon sensitive historic structures concluded that aircraft operations do not result in significant structural vibration. Based on these conclusions, damage to historic structures in the study area as a result of vibration from aircraft is not expected.

2.3.6 Ecological

Constructive use of a wildlife or waterfowl refuge may occur when the “ecological intrusion of the project substantially diminishes the value of wildlife habitat in a wildlife or waterfowl refuge adjacent to the project or substantially interferes with the access to a wildlife or waterfowl refuge, when such access is necessary for established wildlife migration or critical life cycle process.”¹⁵ The only resource within the study area identified as a wildlife or waterfowl refuge is the El Segundo Blue Butterfly Habitat Restoration Area (Habitat Restoration Area).

The Habitat Restoration Area, encompassing 203 acres, is located adjacent to the western boundary of and within the LAX property. The City of Los Angeles set aside the area to protect and restore habitat that supports the federally endangered El Segundo blue butterfly.¹⁶ Further information regarding the butterfly is contained in Section 4.10, *Biotic Communities*, and Section 4.11, *Endangered and Threatened Species of Flora and Fauna*, of the EIS/EIR.

No other wildlife or waterfowl refuges are within the study area.

3.0 IMPACTS TO SECTION 4(F) RESOURCES

Table 4, Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Effects—Alternative C, summarizes the direct and indirect effects for each potentially affected Section 4(f) park, recreation area, and historic site under the Preferred Alternative, Alternative C.

¹⁴ Federal Aviation Administration, Report No. FAA-EE-85-2, Aviation Noise Effects, 1985.

¹⁵ Federal Highway Administration, Technical Advisory T6640.8a, Guidance for Preparing and Processing Environmental and Section 4(f) Documents, Environmental Guidebook, Tab 2, Section (p)(4)(i).

¹⁶ City of Los Angeles, Department of City Planning, Los Angeles Airport/El Segundo Dunes Specific Plan (Ordinance No. 167,940), June 28, 1992, Amended by Ordinance No. 169,767, April 6, 1994.

H. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Report

Table 4

Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Effects—Alternative C

No.	Name	Jurisdiction	4(f)	6(f)	Acqui- sition	Access	Visual	Existing Noise	Noise 2005 (CNEL)	Noise 2015 (CNEL)	1.5 dB Noise Increase
1	Acacia Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
2	Ashwood Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
3	Center Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
4	Circle Park	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
5	Constitution Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
6	Darby Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
7	Del Aire Park	County of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
8	Del Rey Lagoon	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
9	Dockweiler Beach State Park	County of Los Angeles	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes (75+)	Yes (75+)	Yes (75+)	No
10	Eucalyptus Park	City of Hawthorne	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
11	Grevillea Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
12	Hilltop Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
13	Holly Valley Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
14	Imperial Strip	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes(75+)	No	No	No
15	Jesse Owens County Park	County of Los Angeles	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
16	Kansas Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
17	Lennox Park	County of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
18	Library Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
19	Little Green Acres Park	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
20	Maggie Hathaway Golf Course	County of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
21	Queen Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
22	Recreation Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
23	Rogers Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
24	Siminski Park	City of Inglewood	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
25	South Bay Bicycle Trail	County of Los Angeles	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
26	St. Andrews Recreation Center	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
27	Sutton Algin Recreation Center	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
28	Sycamore Park	City of El Segundo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
29	Vista del Mar Park	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes (75+)	Yes (75+)	Yes (75+)	No
30	Westchester Park Recreation Center	City of Los Angeles	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
31	Merle Norman Headquarters Complex	City of Los Angeles	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes (70)	Yes (70)	Yes (70)	No
32	Academy Theatre Building	City of Inglewood	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	No	Yes (65)	Yes (65)	No
33	Hangar One	City of Los Angeles	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes (75+)	Yes (70)	Yes (70)	No
34	Theme Building	City of Los Angeles	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes (70)	Yes (70)	Yes (70)	No
35	WW II Munitions Storage Bunker	City of Los Angeles	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes (75+)	Yes (75+)	Yes (75+)	No
36	Centinela Adobe	City of Inglewood	Yes	N/A	No ¹	No	No ¹	No	No	No	No
37	Randy's Donuts	City of Inglewood	Yes	N/A	No	No	No ¹	No	No	No	No

¹ Use or constructive use of these Section 4(f) resources would occur if the Split Viaduct rather than the Single Viaduct option is developed for the LAX Expressway. Effects on historic properties associated with the LAX Expressway are more fully described in Appendix K, *Supplemental Environmental Evaluation for LAX Expressway and State Route 1 Improvements*.

Source: PCR, 2000.

3.1 Parks and Recreation Areas

Vista del Mar Park (Site No. 29) is a small, 1.8-acre, passive recreation park located immediately west of the North Runway Complex on the west facing slope of the El Segundo Dunes. Its primary feature is a grassy knoll, with a few picnic tables and playground equipment. The park has ocean views and is a prime location for viewing aircraft arriving and departing LAX. Under 1996 baseline conditions, the park has a noise level that is greater than 75 CNEL. Despite its high noise levels, the park is frequently used. With the implementation of Alternative A, the park would experience a 3.6 CNEL increase in noise by 2015.

The 3.6 CNEL noise level increase represents a substantial increase in noise to a currently noncompatible Section 4(f) resource. However, as Vista del Mar Park has been and is currently exposed to high noise levels from both aircraft and vehicular traffic and is a prime location for viewing aircraft overhead, this increase in noise would not interfere with the normal use of the park. Therefore, if Alternative A were adopted, the 3.6 CNEL increase in noise at the park would not constitute a constructive use.

No other park or recreation area would experience a substantial increase in noise levels that would interfere with its normal use. Additional information regarding noise levels is provided in *Appendix H*, Section 4.1, *Noise*, and Section 4.2, *Land Use*, of the EIS/EIR.

Development of cargo facilities, fuel farm relocation (under Alternative A only), and development of other ancillary facilities near the southern boundary of the airport would alter views from Imperial Strip, a passive recreational park that serves as a buffer between the City of El Segundo and LAX. From Imperial Strip, views would include new and replacement cargo facilities, a parking structure on the corner of Imperial Highway and Pershing Drive, and portions of the relocated (under Alternative A only) fuel farm tanks. Also, with the development of the Westchester Southside project site, views from the Westchester Park Recreation Center toward the south would change. While open areas of the Southside project site would become urbanized and new development would occur along Imperial Highway, these areas would be attractively designed and would incorporate landscaping and landscaped buffer areas. As concluded in Section 4.21, *Design, Art, and Architectural Application/Aesthetics*, these changes in views/aesthetic conditions would not result in significant impacts. Therefore, constructive use of parks or recreation areas due to changes in views/aesthetic conditions would not occur under the build alternatives.

Vehicular access to Dockweiler Beach State Park via Imperial Highway would be inhibited with implementation of the build alternatives. Although this change would extend travel times for some residents, access would still be available via alternate routes, and access to Dockweiler Beach State Park would not be significantly degraded. In addition, bicycle path use and access to Dockweiler Beach State Park via Imperial Highway and Westchester Parkway would be temporarily inhibited with development of the ring road under the build alternatives. Use of the bicycle lanes along Imperial Highway and Westchester Parkway would be temporarily restricted during construction activities associated with development of the ring road. This would temporarily inhibit bicycle access to Dockweiler Beach State Park via Imperial Highway and Westchester Parkway. Master Plan Commitment ST-16, *Detour Plan*, would ensure that notification regarding alternate routes is provided during the construction period for the roadways. Furthermore, implementation of Master Plan Commitment LU-3, *Support City of Los Angeles Transportation Element Bicycle Plan*, would ensure that new replacement bicycle lanes are provided along Imperial Highway and incorporation of a bicycle path into the Westchester Southside development would maintain bicycle access to Dockweiler Beach State Park, as currently provided along Westchester Parkway (see Section 4.14, *Coastal Zone Management and Coastal Barriers*, in the EIS/EIR). In summary, no use of a bicycle path or constructive use associated with restricted access would occur.

Effects on Section 4(f) parks and recreation areas associated with the LAX Expressway and State Route 1 improvements under Alternatives A and C are described in Appendix K, *Supplemental Evaluation for LAX Expressway and State Route 1 Improvements*.

User demand for parks and recreation areas would decrease as a result of implementation of the Master Plan alternatives as well as the No Action/No Project Alternative because up to approximately 160 acres of residential land would be acquired; thus, reducing the demand for park and recreation resources in an area currently considered deficient in those resources. Furthermore, there are no Section 4(f) parks or recreation areas with service areas currently encompassing the proposed residential acquisition areas. Therefore, no adverse effects to user demand would occur as a result of implementation of any of the Master Plan build alternatives or the No Action/No Project Alternative. (Section 4.26.3, *Parks and Recreation*, of the EIS/EIR contains a detailed discussion of user demand effects on parks and recreation facilities in the immediate LAX vicinity.)

No use or physical taking of any park or recreation area would occur with implementation of any of the Master Plan build alternatives.

3.2 Historic, Architectural, and Archaeological Resources

As presently portrayed in the Master Plan, Alternative B would result in a physical taking or use as defined by Section 4(f) that would affect two of the four historic sites listed in Table 4.8-2, Section 4(f) Historic

H. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Report

Resources Inventory, the Merle Norman Headquarters Complex and Hangar One. As described in greater detail in Section 4.9, *Historic/Architectural, Archaeological/Cultural and Paleontological Resources*, of the EIS/EIR, the Merle Norman Headquarters Complex meets the criteria for eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion C for its distinctive architectural style and design utilized in an industrial building. Alternative B shows that this property would be acquired, with its historic buildings and cleared to secure right of way for a proposed ring road. If Alternative B were adopted, this action would constitute a use under Section 4(f).

If Alternative B were adopted, redevelopment of the Imperial Cargo Complex for additional cargo space, taxiways and aprons would involve the relocation of Hangar One (Site No. 33). Hangar One is currently listed in the National Register, the California Register, and is designated as a City of Los Angeles Historic-Cultural Monument. The property would be moved approximately 1,100 feet to the southwest within the original 640 acres established as Mines Field, but within proximity to the southern most runway, taxiways, aircraft tarmac, and hangar apron. As described in greater detail in the *Relocation Document for Hangar One, application of National Register Criterion B: Moved Properties*, indicates that the property should retain its National Register listing and eligibility (under Criterion C, architecture). Although National Register status would be retained, relocation of Hangar One would, if Alternative B were adopted, be considered a use under DOT, Section 4(f).

Under Alternatives A, B, and C, the National Register eligible Academy Theatre falls within the 65 CNEL noise contour and could qualify for noise mitigation. If sound insulation is undertaken, the process could result in the loss or alteration of significant character-defining elements such as windows or doors. Implementation of Master Plan Commitment HR-1, *Preservation of Historic Resources*, commits LAWA to undertake sound insulation for historic properties under the supervision of a qualified architectural historian or a historic architect in keeping with recommended approaches to rehabilitation as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings. On the basis of that commitment, constructive use of the Academy Theatre would be avoided.

If Alternative A or C is adopted, one of two development options would be implemented for the LAX Expressway, as described in Appendix K, *Supplemental Environmental Evaluation for LAX Expressway and State Route 1 Improvements*. The LAX Expressway Split Viaduct alignment, as described in Appendix K, would result in a use and constructive use of the Centinela Adobe, a National Register listed historic property, due to encroachment of the roadway onto the property. The LAX Expressway would also result in a constructive use of Randy's Donuts, a historic property that appears eligible for the National Register at the local level, due to visual and possible vibration impacts. Although the Split Viaduct alignment would result in a use and constructive use of these properties, the Single Viaduct alignment, which is also an option under Alternatives A and C, would avoid these historic properties. Effects on historic properties associated with the LAX Expressway are more fully described in Appendix K.

None of the historic resources evaluated have features or attributes that contribute to their significance that are an integral part of a quiet setting, therefore, no constructive use associated with this aspect of increased noise levels would occur.

Under Alternatives A, B, and C, the archaeological record searches and other literature received and reviewed for the proposed action indicate that the likelihood of discovering archaeological/cultural resources within or near the study area is relatively high, particularly given the record of sites recorded in the vicinity of the airport. This conclusion suggests unanticipated discoveries may occur from construction-related activities such as grading and excavation. The disturbance or destruction of potentially significant undiscovered archaeological/cultural sites by these activities would be considered a use under Section 4(f), if these sites have more than minimal value for preservation in place.

Alternative C, LAWA's Preferred Alternative, would have no direct or indirect effect on the National Register listed Hangar One property or on the following National Register eligible properties: Theme Building, WWII Munitions Storage Bunker, Merle Norman Headquarters Complex, and archaeological site CA-LAN-2345. Therefore, no use of these resources would occur under Alternative C.

3.3 Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges

Alternative A or B, if adopted, would result in the use of 320 square feet (Alternative A) or 704 square feet (Alternative B) of the Habitat Restoration Area due to the installation of navigational aids for Runway 24L/6R. Implementation of Mitigation Measure MM-BC-8, *Replacement of State-Designated Sensitive Habitats for Alternative A*, or MM-BC-9, *Replacement of State-Designated Sensitive Habitats for Alternative B*, would provide for replacement of the lost 320 or 704 square feet of habitat. Although there

would be no net loss of habitat, the loss of 320 or 704 square feet of habitat would, if Alternative A or B were adopted, constitute a use under Section 4(f). No constructive use or indirect effects due to increased ambient light, glare, or exhaust emissions on the Habitat Restoration Area would occur, as discussed further in Section 4.11, *Endangered and Threatened Species of Flora and Fauna*, of the EIS/EIR.

3.4 Findings

The Preferred Alternative, Alternative C, would not involve the use or constructive use of any public parks, recreation areas, or wildlife or waterfowl refuges. If the Split Viaduct alignment for the development of the LAX Expressway were implemented with the Preferred Alternative (Alternative C), then, for historic resources, a use and constructive use of the Centinela Adobe and a constructive use of Randy's Donuts would occur. However, the Single Viaduct alignment for the development of the LAX Expressway would avoid use and constructive use of these historic properties.

The only other potential use that applies to the Preferred Alternative (Alternative C) is the potential for discovering archaeological/cultural sites within or near the study area during construction-related activities such as grading and excavation. The disturbance or destruction of an archaeological site would, however, only be considered a use if a discovered site is determined to be significant at the National Register level, has value for preservation in place, and if adverse effects cannot be mitigated.

4.0 IMPACTS TO SECTION 6(F) RESOURCES

Land and Water Conservation Fund (L&WCF) resources are lands planned, acquired, or developed using the U.S. Department of Interior (DOI) L&WCF Act monies. The L&WCF Act of 1965 established a funding source for both Federal acquisition of park and recreation lands and matching grants to state and local governments for recreation planning, acquisition, and development. It set forth requirements for state outdoor recreation planning and provides a formula for allocating annual L&WCF appropriations to states and territories.

The L&WCF Act of 1965 (Public Law 88-578) and 16 USC Section 4601-8(f)3, more commonly referred to as Section 6(f), requires that all properties acquired or developed with L&WCF assistance be perpetually maintained for public outdoor recreation use. The L&WCF Act requires that property acquired or developed with the assistance under Section 6(f) not be converted to other than public outdoor recreation use without the approval of the Secretary of the Interior. The Secretary of the Interior shall approve such conversion only if the conversion is found to be in accordance with the current statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan and only upon such conditions as deemed necessary to assure the substitution of other recreation properties of at least equal fair market value and of reasonably equivalent usefulness and location.

The replacement with property of equal value and equivalent usefulness and location requirement applies to all parks and sites that have been the subject of L&WCF grants of any type, for acquisition of a park, development, or rehabilitation of facilities. Commonly, a relatively small L&WCF grant for a park of a significant size provides protection from conversion for the entire park site. The authority to approve Section 6(f) conversions has been delegated to the regional directors of the National Park Service (NPS).

L&WCF resources potentially affected by the Master Plan alternatives were identified through a search of a California Department of Parks and Recreation (CPDR) listing of projects they have funded through grant programs. The list of "All Projects Funded by Agency," most recently updated by the CDPR on April 13, 1999, identifies, by jurisdiction, a project or recreational facility name, the fiscal year the project was funded, the grant program, and the grant amount. The portion of the list that includes the Cities of Los Angeles, El Segundo, Inglewood, Hawthorne, and unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County was reviewed to identify L&WCF resources within the study area and are included in Appendix 2. Three recreational facilities within the study area were identified as having been acquired or developed with funding from the L&WCF.

4.1 Site No. 10 - Dockweiler Beach State Park

Isidore B. Dockweiler Beach State Park (Dockweiler Beach State Park) is the only State of California park or recreation area within the study area. Located directly west of LAX, Dockweiler Beach State Park is maintained by the Los Angeles County Department of Beaches and Harbors and is approximately 4.05 miles long and 550 feet wide, encompassing approximately 288 acres. Due to its shape, multiple

facilities such as entries, restrooms, parking lots, and concession stands are spread out along its length to accommodate beach visitors along the oceanfront. There are also showers, playground and volleyball facilities, and fire pits on the beach. The main beach entrance is located at the western end of Imperial Highway. Dockweiler Beach State Park received L&WCF assistance in fiscal year 1972-73. The amount funded is not shown on the CDPR list of grants to parks.

4.2 Site No. 19 - Jesse Owens County Park

Jesse Owens County Park is 20-acre regional park that is located at the northwest corner of Western Avenue and Century Boulevard in the City of Los Angeles. Recreational facilities at the park include a gymnasium, indoor pool, playground equipment, softball fields, tennis courts, picnic shelters, and a recreation center. Jesse Owens County Park received \$101,600 from the L&WCF during fiscal year 1983-84.

4.3 Site No. 29 - South Bay Bicycle Trail

The South Bay Bicycle Trail traverses Dockweiler Beach State Park. The South Bay Bicycle Trail extends along Santa Monica Bay from Torrance County Beach to Will Rogers State Beach, with benches and bicycle racks along its path. It is maintained by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, with the exception of a short section between Culver Boulevard and the Marina Del Rey entrance channel, which is under the control of the Los Angeles County Department of Beaches and Harbors. The South Bay Bicycle Trail received \$626,918 from the L&WCF in fiscal year 1971-72.

4.4 Findings

None of the Section 6(f) resources are located within the acquisition areas proposed under the Master Plan build alternatives and none are subject to indirect effects such that they would be converted to non-recreational use by any of the Master Plan build alternatives. As no conversion of L&WCF properties would occur under any of the Master Plan build alternatives, the requirements of Section 6(f) of the L&WCF Act would not apply.

5.0 AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES

As the Preferred Alternative, Alternative C, would not involve the use or constructive use of any public parks, recreation areas, or wildlife or waterfowl refuges, no avoidance of potential impacts to these Section 4(f) resources would be required. Concerning historic resources, the Single Viaduct alignment for the development of the LAX Expressway would avoid use and/or constructive use of the Centinela Adobe and Randy's Donuts under Section 4(f). Furthermore, if currently undiscovered archaeological resources are found during implementation of the project, use would be avoided through the implementation of Mitigation Measures MM-HA-3 through MM-HA-9, which are included in Section 4.9, *Historic, Architectural, Archaeological/Cultural Resources*, of the EIS/EIR.

If Alternative A or B is chosen as the Preferred Alternative, avoidance alternatives for potential uses, such as use of the Habitat Restoration Area, would be analyzed through an additional Section 4(f) evaluation.

6.0 MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM/MITIGATION

No Section 4(f) parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, or historic sites would be directly or indirectly affected by the Preferred Alternative, Alternative C. If currently undiscovered archaeological resources and/or human remains are found, they would be mitigated through the implementation of Mitigation Measures MM-HA-3 through MM-HA-9 (see Section 4.9.1, *Historic/Architectural, Archaeological/Cultural Resources*, of the EIS/EIR). Therefore, no measures to minimize harm are required.

7.0 COORDINATION

A public involvement program was conducted for the EIS/EIR to ensure that information was provided to the general public and public agencies from the early stages of the project planning and input was received from interested parties and reviewed throughout the EIS/EIR process. This program included a scoping meeting, public workshops, and a public hearing. Press releases, newspaper advertisements, and direct mailings were used to inform the public of changes, progress, and the status of the study.

Coordination has been conducted with various public agencies and officials throughout the preparation of the EIS/EIR.

8.0 REFERENCES

8.1 Parks and Recreation

California Department of Parks and Recreation, *All Projects Funded by Agency*, April 13, 1999.

Department of Transportation Office of the Secretary Washington, D.C., Order 5610.1C, *Procedures for Considering Environmental Impacts*, September 18, 1979.

Federal Aviation Administration, *Section 303 and 6(f) Evaluation Lambert-St. Louis International Airport*, December 1997.

Federal Aviation Administration, FAR Part 150, *Airport Noise Compatibility Planning*, October 25, 1989.

Federal Aviation Administration, Order 1050.1D, *Policies and Procedures for Considering Environmental Impacts*, December 5, 1986.

Federal Aviation Administration, Order 5050.4A, *Airport Environmental Handbook*, October 8, 1985.

Federal Aviation Administration, Report No. FAA-EE-85-2, *Aviation Noise Effects*, 1985.

Federal Highway Administration, FHWA Technical Advisory T 6640.8A, *Guidance for Preparing and Processing Environmental and Section 4(f) Documents*, October 30, 1987.

King, Odel, Manager of Local Services Section, Grants Program, California Department of Parks and Recreation, personal communication, October 20, 1999.

Land and Water Conservation Fund (L&WCF) Act of 1965, U.S. Code, Title 16, Sections 4601-8(f)(3), Public Law 88-578.

8.2 Historic/Architectural

Albrecht, Donald, ed. *World War II and the American Dream*. Cambridge: The MIT Press, 1995.

AVIA Development. *Facts for Hangar One Redication*. Los Angeles: AVIA, 1990.

Blumenson, John. *Identifying American Architecture*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 1989.

Carley, Rachel. *The Visual Dictionary of American Domestic Architecture*. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1994.

City of Los Angeles Cultural Heritage Board Notice, Re: Hangar One. November 17, 1966.

City of Los Angeles. *Los Angeles Department of Airport Historical Review*. Los Angeles: Department of Airport, 1994.

Cunningham, William Glenn. *Aircraft Industry: A Study in Industrial Location*

Elliott, Arlene. "The Rise of Aeronautics in California, 1849-1940." *Southern California Quarterly*. Los Angeles: Historical Society of Southern California, 1970.

Federal Highway Administration, Technical Advisory T6640.8a, *Guidance for Preparing and Processing Environmental and Section 4(f) Documents*, Environmental Guidebook, Tab 2, Section (p)(4)(i).

Gebhard, David and Robert Winter. *Architecture in Los Angeles*. Salt Lake City: Peregrine Smith Books, 1994

Gleye, Paul. *The Architecture of Los Angeles*. Los Angeles: Rosebud Books, 1981.

Grenier, Judson A. *A Guide to Historic Places in Los Angeles County*. Dubuque, Iowa: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, 1981.

Harris, Cyril, ed. *Illustrated Dictionary of Historic Architecture*. New York: Dover Publications, 1977.

Hatfield, D.D.. *Los Angeles Aeronautics 1920-1929*. Los Angeles: Northrop Institute of Technology, 1973.

H. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Report

- Hise, Greg. "Home Building and Industrial Decentralization in Los Angeles: The Roots of the Postwar Urban Region," *Journal of Urban History*, February 1993.
- Hise, Greg. *Magnetic Los Angeles*. Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press, 1997.
- Hudson, Karen. *Paul R. Williams, Architect: A Legacy of Style*. New York: Rizzoli, 1993.
- Lewis, Atherton. *Main Street on the Middle Border*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1954.
- McAlester, Virginia & Lee. *A Field Guide to American Houses*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1997.
- McWilliams, Carey. *Southern California: An Island on the Land*. Salt Lake City: Peregrine Smith Books, 1988.
- Moffat, Susan. "Landing A Landmark: LAX Monument to 1960s Optimism Granted Historical Status." *Los Angeles Times*, December 9, 1992, p.B1.
- Moran, Tom. *Los Angeles International Airport: From Lindbergh's Landing Strip to World Air Center*. Canoga Park, California: CCA Publications. 1993.
- National Register of Historic Places Inventory-Nomination Form: Hangar One*. Los Angeles. Completed by Historic Resources Group, 1992.
- "Los Angeles Times Magazine," *Los Angeles Times*. December 8, 1996.
- Office of State Historic Preservation. *California Historic Resources Inventory, Survey Workbook* (excerpts). State of California: Sacramento, 1986/1995.
- Office of State Historic Preservation. *Historic Properties Directory (Los Angeles/Inglewood)*. Sacramento: State of California, 2000.
- Phillips, Alice Mary. *Los Angeles: A Guide Book*. Los Angeles: The Neuner Company, 1907.
- Robinson, W.W. *Inglewood: A Calendar of Events in the Making of a City*. Los Angeles: Title Insurance and Trust Company, 1955.
- Sanborn Fire Insurance Company Maps—Los Angeles, Inglewood, California.
- Smith, Doug. "Hangar: Old Building in Path of Demolition." *Los Angeles Conservancy News*. Volume 4, Number 1. Spring, 1982.
- Southwest Builder and Contractor*, August 23, 1929.
- Starr, Kevin. *Inventing the Dream: California Through the Progressive Era*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1985.
- Stoff, Joshua. *Picture History of World War II American Aircraft Production*. New York: Dover Publications, 1993.
- "Training Service Hangar Completed." *Los Angeles Times*. October 14, 1929. Section 5, p. 8.
- United States Department of the Interior. *National Register Bulletin 15*, "How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation." Washington, DC: National Park Service, Interagency Resources Division, rev, 1991.
- United States Department of the Interior. *National Register Bulletin 24*, "Guidelines For Local Surveys: A Basis For Preservation Planning." Washington, DC: National Park Service, Interagency Resources Division, rev, 1985.
- Valentine, Maggie. *The Show Starts on the Sidewalk: An Architectural History of the Movie Theatre*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1994.
- Valentine, Maggie. *The Show Starts on the Sidewalk: The Contribution of S. Charles Lee to Motion Picture Theatre Architecture* (dissertation). Los Angeles: University of California Los Angeles, 1990.
- Whiffen, Marcus. *American Architecture Since 1780*. Cambridge: The MIT Press, 1992.
- Zukowsky, John, ed. *Building for Air Travel: Architecture and Design for Commercial Aviation*. New York: Prestel, 1996.

8.3 Archaeological

Bean, Lowell John and Charles R. Smith. *Gabrielino*. In *Handbook of North American Indians: California*, Robert F. Heizer, editor, Vol. 8, pp. 538-549. Washington, D.C: Smithsonian Institution, 1978.

California Department of Parks and Recreation. *California Historical Landmarks*. Sacramento: Office of Historic Preservation, California Department of Parks and Recreation, 1990.

Chartkoff, Joseph L. and Kerry K. Chartkoff. *The Archaeology of California*. Stanford: Stanford Press, 1984.

Cramer, Esther R. "The Pastoral Period." *A Hundred Years of Yesterdays*. Santa Ana, California: Orange County Centennial, Inc., 1988.

Dixon, E. James. *Quest for the Origins of the First Americans*. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1993.

Driver, Harold E. *The Indians of North America*. Riverside, California: Rubidoux Printing Company, 1969.

Drover, Christopher, Henry Koerper and Paul Langenwaller, *Early Holocene Human Adaptation on the Southern California Coast: A Summary Report of Investigations at the Irvine Site (CA-ORA-64)*. Newport Bay, Orange County, California: *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly*, Vol. 19, No. 3 and 4, 1969.

Griner, E.L. and P.R. Pryde, *Climate, Soils, and Vegetation In San Diego: An Introduction to the Region*. Dubuque: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Co., 1976.

Hester, T. *Chronological Ordering of Great Basin Prehistory*. Berkeley: University of California Archaeological Research Facility, 1973.

Kroeber, A.L. *Handbook of the Indians of California*. Washington, D.C.: Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 78, Smithsonian Institution, 1925.

Leonard, Nelson. *Archaeological Study of LAX*. On file at the South Central Coastal Information, University of California, Los Angeles, n.d..

Leonard, Nelson. *Hiperion Plant*. On file at the South Central Coastal Information, University of California, Los Angeles, 1975.

Moratto, Michael J. *California Archaeology*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1984.

Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, *National Register of Historic Places*. Washington, D.C.: Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, National Park Service, 1994.

Olson Laboratories. *Los Angeles International Airport Series, Volume I, Draft Environmental Impact Statement*. On file at the South Central Coastal Information, University of California, Los Angeles, 1975.

Rosen, Martin D. *Evaluation of the Archaeological Resources and Potential Impact of the Proposed Construction of Route 105 Freeway from El Segundo to Norwalk*. On file at the South Central Coastal Information, University of California, Los Angeles, 1975.

Van Horn, David M. *Mitigation of Impacts to Cultural Resources: Salvage Excavation at the Hughes Site (LAN-59)*. On file at the South Central Coastal Information, University of California, Los Angeles, 1984.

Van Horn, David M. and J.R. Murray. *The Loyola Marymount Archaeological Project: Salvage Excavations at Lan-61A-C*. On file at the South Central Coastal Information, University of California, Los Angeles, 1985.

9.0 LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ATP	Archaeological Treatment Plan
CDPR	California Department of Parks and Recreation
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
dB CNEL	Decibel Community Noise Equivalent Level
DOI	Department of the Interior

H. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Report

DOT	Department of Transportation
EIS/EIR	Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FAR	Federal Aviation Regulation
GIS	Geographic Information System
L&WCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
LAWA	Los Angeles World Airports
LAX	Los Angeles International Airport
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NLA	Noise Level Reduction
NPS	National Park Service
OHP	Office of Historic Preservation
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer

Attachment 1
LAX Master Plan 4(f) Park Inventory Forms

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Acacia Park		No. 1
Location: 616 W Imperial Ave El Segundo 90245		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 0.78		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: Existing noise level is 75 CNEL. Less than 70 CNEL for all alternatives. Threshold is 75 CNEL. No 1.5 CNEL increases under any alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Ashwood Park		No. 2
Location: Ash Avenue, Inglewood		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool Wading Pool <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		
Type:	Access:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Mini	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular	
		Details: street parking only
Size (acres): 1.3		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics:		
Directly adjacent to 405 Freeway (loud vehicle noise); train tracks nearby (also loud); park is gated.		
1.5 CNEL increase under Alternatives A, B, and C 2015 and Alt. C 2005.		
Photos:		
Disc 2 / Photo 2: courts and playground, looking south.		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Center Park		No. 3
Location: 3656 W 111Th St Inglewood 90303		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 1.2		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Play fields.</u>		
Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Circle Park		No. 4
Location: 8300 Fifth Ave Inglewood		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 1.3		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Play field.</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Constitution Park		No. 5
Location: Washington St / Palm Ave El Segundo		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 1.02		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Play area.</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Darby Park		No. 6
Location: 3400 West Arbor Vitae Street, Inglewood		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters (benches only) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields (with bleachers and lights) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool (Wading Pool) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 	Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular 	
Details: Parking lot.		
Size (acres): 14.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Varied terrain.</u> 1.5 CNEL increase under Alternative A 2015.		
Photos: _____		
Disc 1 / Photo 22: field and courts; north from rec. center;		
Disc 1 / Photo 23: south toward rec. center from field		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Del Aire Park		No. 7
Location: Isis Avenue		
Jurisdiction: County of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Local 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 7.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Recreation building; courts, playground, play fields</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Del Rey Lagoon		No. 8
Location: 6660 Esplanade Place Playa Del Rey 90292		
Jurisdiction: City of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 12.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Courts; play area; small water craft safety program</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Dockweiler Beach State Park		No. 9
Location: Between Vista Del Mar and the ocean		
Jurisdiction: State owned; maintained by Los Angeles County		
Facilities:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>See below</u>		
Type:	Access:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular	
		Details: Parking for fee, much is fenced, with some pedestrian trails to beach.
Size (acres): 288		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: Recipient of L&WCA funds. No view of airport or street from Beach. Site includes lifeguard operations building and beach rental and snack bar building with outdoor eating area.		
Greater than 75 CNEL under 1996 baseline conditions. 1.5 CNEL increase		
Photos: _____		
Disc 1 / Photo 9: from Vista Del Mar, looking south		
Disc 1 / Photo 10: bike trail, looking south		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Eucalyptus Park		No. 10
Location: Inglewood Ave./Gale Ave.		
Jurisdiction: City of Hawthorne		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Local 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 6.15		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Play fields; courts</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name:	Grevillea Park	No. 11
Location:	231 S. Grevillea Avenue, Inglewood	
Jurisdiction:	City of Inglewood	
Facilities:	<input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
Type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Access: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
		Details: Street parking only
Size (acres):	1.5	
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities:	_____	
Notes/Unusual Characteristics:	Passive recreation. No benches. Located in civic center area. 1.5 CNEL increase under all alternatives.	
Photos:	_____	
	Disc 1 / Photo 24: looking north from just south of Nutwood	
	Disc 1 / Photo 25: looking north from just north of Nutwood	
	Disc 2 / Photo 1: same as 25	

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name:	Hilltop Park	No. 12
Location:	E Grand Ave/Maryland Street El Segundo	
Jurisdiction:	City of El Segundo	
Facilities:	<input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water recreation	
Type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Access: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres):	1.13	
Estimated Number of Visitors:	_____	
Planned Facilities:	_____	
Notes/Unusual Characteristics:	<u>Pool</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.	
Photos:	_____	

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Holly Valley Park		No. 13
Location: Holly Ave/Valley		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 0.13		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: _____ Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____ _____ _____ _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name:	Imperial Strip	No. 14
Location:	Between Imperial Highway and Imperial Avenue. Between Hillcrest Street and Center Street	
Jurisdiction:	City of El Segundo	
Facilities:	<input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
Type:	<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Open space, corridor, buffer	Access: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
		Details: Street parking only
Size (acres):	7.35	
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities:	_____	
Notes/Unusual Characteristics:	<u>Western portion is lower than Imperial Highway.</u> All other portions are higher. Sloping terrain (south to north), clear view of airport. Benches only. Less than 70 CNEL for all alternatives (Threshold is 75 CNEL). Noise level decreases with all alternatives.	
Photos:	_____	
	Disc 1 / Photo 11: looking west from just west of Main	
	Disc 1 / Photo 12: looking north (toward airport) from just west of Main	
	Disc 1 / Photo 13: looking west at Virginia (note topography)	
	Disc 1 / Photo 14: looking north from McCarthy Court (east of Main)	

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Jesse Owens County Park		No. 15
Location: 9637 S. Western Avenue		
Jurisdiction: County of Los Angeles		
Facilities:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment - 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields – 2, with bleacher and stadium lights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		
Type:		Access:
<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
		Details: Parking lot.
Size (acres): 20.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics:		
<u>Gym; indoor pool, play fields, courts, archery.</u> <u>Park police substation in Rec. Center building. Utility lines throughout property.</u> <u>1.5 CNEL increase with Alternative B 2015. Less than 65 CNEL under other alternatives.</u>		
Photos:		
Disc 1 / Photo 17: from front steps of rec. center towards fields (Century)		
Disc 1 / Photo 20: from parking looking south (towards Century)		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Kansas Park		No. 16
Location: E Holly Ave/Kansas Street		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 0.42		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: _____ Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____ _____ _____ _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Lennox Park		No. 17
Location: Lennox Boulevard / Condon Avenue		
Jurisdiction: County of Los Angeles		
Facilities:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center – day care <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields with bleachers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Senior Citizens Center		
Type:		Access:
<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Local		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
		Details: Parking lot.
Size (acres): 5.4		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities:		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: Recreation building, pool, play fields, courts, archery. 1.5 CNEL increase for Alternative B 2015.		
Photos:		
Disc 1 / Photo 15: playground area		
Disc 1 / Photo 16: baseball diamond, towards Lennox		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Library Park		No. 18
Location: W Mariposa Ave/Main Street		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 3.14		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Rose garden</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Little Green Acres Park		No. 19
Location: S Vermont Ave/W 104 th Street		
Jurisdiction: City of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Garden 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 0.2		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Garden plots.</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Maggie Hathaway Golf Course		No. 20
Location: 9637 S. Western Avenue, Los Angeles (next to Jesse Owens County Park)		
Jurisdiction: County of Los Angeles		
Facilities:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		
Type:		Access:
<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
		Details: parking combined with Jesse Owens Park
Size (acres):		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: _____ Formerly, Jack Thompson Golf Course. Nine-hole golf course. 1.5 CNEL increase for Alternative B 2015.		
Photos: _____		
Disc 1 / Photo 18: golf course from west side of rec. center, looking west		
Disc 1 / Photo 19: golf course from Jesse Owens Park, looking west		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Queen Park		No. 21
Location: 652 E Queen Street Inglewood		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 1.1		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Wading pool</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Recreation Park		No. 22
Location: E Pine Ave/Eucalyptus Drive		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 20.10		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: _____ Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____ _____ _____ _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Rogers Park		No. 23
Location: 400 W Beach Ave		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 10.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Gym; pre-school rooms; play fields; wading pool</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Siminski Park		No. 24
Location: 9717 Inglewood Ave Inglewood		
Jurisdiction: City of Inglewood		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 1.9		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Multi-purpose building</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: South Bay Bicycle Trail		No. 25
Location: Along Dockweiler Beach State Park		
Jurisdiction: County of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Bike Trail 	Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bicycle 	
Size (acres): 19 miles long		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Bicycle racks.</u> Greater than 75 CNEL under existing conditions. 1.5 CNEL increase		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: St. Andrews Recreation Center		No. 26
Location: 8701 St. Andrews Place, Los Angeles		
Jurisdiction: City of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts (with lights) <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center (includes indoor stage) <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields (with bleachers and lights) <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts with lights <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails (dirt around periphery of field) <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 	Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular 	
		Details: Parking lot.
Size (acres): 8.5		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics:		
1.5 CNELCNEL increase under Alternatives A and B 2015.		
Photos:		
Disc 1 / Photo 21: looking south at baseball field and picnic area		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Sutton Algin Recreation Center		No. 27
Location: 8800 S Hoover Street Los Angeles 90044		
Jurisdiction: City of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 16.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: <u>Auditorium; gym; play fields; courts; pool</u> Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN 4(F) PARK INVENTORY - GENERAL

Name: Sycamore Park		No. 28
Location: E Sycamore Ave/California Street El Segundo		
Jurisdiction: City of El Segundo		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor sports arenas/spectator sports <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor music shells/amphitheaters <input type="checkbox"/> Nature exhibits/zoos <input type="checkbox"/> Amusements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parks <input type="checkbox"/> Public assembly <input type="checkbox"/> Resorts and group camps <input type="checkbox"/> Other cultural, entertainment and recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Golf course <input type="checkbox"/> Riding stables <input type="checkbox"/> Water recreation 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular
Size (acres): 0.77		
Estimated Number of Visitors: _____		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: _____ Less than 65 CNEL for all alternatives.		
Photos: _____		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Vista Del Mar Park		No. 29
Location: Century / Vista Del Mar Boulevard, Los Angeles		
Jurisdiction: City of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields <input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 	Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular 	
		Details: Street parking only; no cross-walk from beach side.
Size (acres): 1.8		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: Sloping terrain (east to west). Greater than 75 CNEL under existing conditions and all alternatives. 3.6 CNEL increase under Alternative A.		
Photos:		
Disc 1 / Photo 7: from north side of park looking southwest		
Disc 1 / Photo 8: looking east		

LAX MASTER PLAN EIS/EIR PARK INVENTORY - DETAILED

Name: Westchester Recreation Center		No. 30
Location: 7000 W. Manchester Avenue, Los Angeles		
Jurisdiction: City of Los Angeles		
Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Basketball Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Golf Course <input type="checkbox"/> Handball/Multi-Use <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Amphitheater <input type="checkbox"/> Picnic Area/Shelters <input type="checkbox"/> Playground Equipment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreation Center <input type="checkbox"/> Soccer Fields <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Softball/Baseball Fields (with bleachers and lights) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts <input type="checkbox"/> Volleyball Courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walking/Biking/Fitness Trails <input type="checkbox"/> Zoo/Nature Exhibit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: See below _____ 		
Type: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood (5-10 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Community (15-20 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (>50 acres) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 	Access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicular 	
		Details: Parking lots.
Size (acres): 22.0		
Estimated Number of Visitors:		
Planned Facilities: _____		
Notes/Unusual Characteristics: Senior Center (off Lincoln), library (east of municipal building on Manchester), Municipal building (on corner), outdoor shuffle board, Recreation Center (just west of golf course on Manchester).		
1.5 CNEL increase for Alternatives B and C 2015???		
Photos: _____		
Disc 1 / Photo 2: overview of park from Sr. Center (near Manchester and Lincoln (SE))		
Disc 1 / Photo 3: from north end of park, towards airport		
Disc 1 / Photo 4: looking north (away from airport) near center of park		

Attachment 2
L&WCF Act Section 6(f) Funded Parks, Parks Funding Database

California Department of Parks and Recreation
 All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

City of El Segundo

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Los Angeles County			
Acacia & Sycamore Parks	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$3,742
Candy Cane Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$46,000
El Segundo Parks	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$1,549
El Segundo Recreation Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$46,578
Hilltop Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$3,871
Holly-Kansas Neighborhood Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$17,334
Recreation Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$5,745
Recreation Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$11,227
Recreation Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$7,065
Recreation Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$10,961
Recreation Park	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$1,626
Recreation Park Play Equipment	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$4,564
Sycamore Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$7,063
Washington Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$45,924
Washington Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$40,071
Washington Park	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$48,933
		Agency Total	\$302,253

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

City of Hawthorne

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Los Angeles County			
Bicentennial Park	77/78	1974 Bond Act	\$118,642
Eucalyptus Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$23,352
Glasgow Strip Property Acquisi	89/90	General Fund	\$197,000
Handicapped Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
Hawthorne Community Pools	78/79	Roberti-Z'berg	\$26,250
Hawthorne Memorial Center	85/86	Roberti-Z'berg	\$28,405
Hawthorne Memorial Center	84/85	Roberti-Z'berg	\$28,497
Hawthorne Municipal Pool	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$165,768
Hawthorne Park	81/82	1976 Bond Act	\$131,080
Hawthorne Parks	82/83	Roberti-Z'berg	\$6,717
Hawthorne Youth Camp (10000)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Holly Glen Park	76/77	1974 Bond Act	\$35,000
Holly Glen Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'berg	\$18,000
Holly Glen Park (12000)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Holly Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$192,000
Holly Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$163,000
Holly Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'berg	\$40,522
Holly Park	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$14,800
Holly Park Sports Complex	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$196,000
Jim Thorpe Park	76/77	1974 Bond Act	\$12,000
Jim Thorpe Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$28,000
Memorial Park	76/77	1974 Bond Act	\$7,699
Thorpe Recreation Center	83/84	Roberti-Z'berg	\$3,223
Tot Lot Rehab	81/82	Roberti-Z'berg	\$15,574
		Agency Total	\$1,451,529

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

City of Inglewood

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Los Angeles County			
104th Street/Crenshaw Park	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$12,000
Ashwood/Adobe Parks	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$58,851
Center/North Parks/Irrigation	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$62,099
Centinela Park	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$35,842
Centinela Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$140,000
Centinela Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$100,000
Centinela Park	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$56,000
Centinela Park	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$15,000
Centinela Park Dev	76/77	Land & Water Conservation	\$61,020
Centinela/North Parks	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$36,809
Centinella Park Dev	80/81	Land & Water Conservation	\$50,600
City Parks	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$11,120
Darby Park	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$24,160
Darby Park	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$140,000
Darby Park	78/79	1974 Bond Act	\$13,000
Darby Park	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$105,000
Darby Park	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$192,338
Darby Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$63,146
Darby Park/Centinela Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$63,055
Darby Park/Rogers Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$20,000
Five City Parks	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$34,652
Inglewood Park Facilities	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$23,382
Inglewood Park Facilities	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$67,809
Inglewood Park Facilities	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$9,353
Inglewood Park Improvements	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$26,292
Inglewood Park Improvements	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$22,677
Inglewood Park Improvements	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$47,857
Inglewood Parks	89/90	1986 Bond Act	\$70,000
Inglewood Parks	88/89	1986 Bond Act	\$80,000
Inglewood Parks	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$37,233
Inglewood Parks	82/83	1980 Bond Act	\$277,399
Inglewood Parks Development	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$45,788
Inglewood Recreation Facility	83/84	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$5,336
North Park Irrigation	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Rodgers Park	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$102,601
Roger Park	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$29,000
Rogers Park	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$15,795
Rogers Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
Senior Center	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$11,191
Siminski Park	90/91	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$91,062
Agency Total			\$2,257,467

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Los Angeles County			
	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
2nd Avenue Rec Ctr	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$93,750
48th Stvan Nuysross Snyder	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$52,941
Alpine Recreation Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,578,015
Andreas Pico Adobe	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$69,428
Angels Gate Park	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$84,982
Angels Gate Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$1,398,445
Ardmore Park Development	83/84	Land & Water Conservation	\$56,210
Ardmore Rec Center (636596)	78/79	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Ardmore Rec Center Dev	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$36,431
Aromore Recreation Center	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$165,930
Balboa Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$100,000
Balboa Park Sports Field	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$146,475
Baldwin Hills Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Banning Outdoor Pool	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$323,713
Barrington Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$340,570
Beverlywood Senior Citizens Cr	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$300,000
Blythe Street Park	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$12,000
Bogdonovitch Recreation Center	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Brand Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$50,000
Branford Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Briarwood Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Cabrillo Beach Dev	71/72	Land & Water Conservation	\$111,480
Canterbury Ave Park (200000)	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Carlin G Smith Rec Ctr	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$43,558
Central Recreation Center	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$885,000
Chase And Capistrano Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$104,577
Chatsworth Park Addition	70/71	1964 Bond Act	\$9,602
Chatsworth Reg Park Acq	66/67	Land & Water Conservation	\$300,000
Chatsworth Regional Park Ex	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$310,397
Chatsworth Reservoir	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$100,000
Chevy Chase Park Dev	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$101,600
Corbin Canyon Acquisition	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Corbin Canyon Parksite	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$450,000
Crestwood Reg Pk Exp (400000)	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$0
Culver Slauson Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$50,000
Cypress Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$452,539
Dearborn Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$275,000
Del Rey Lagoon	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$76,388
Delano Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$107,932
Delano Park (+Libbit Park)	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$450,000
Dockweiler Beach Dev	72/73	Land & Water Conservation	\$0
Dockweiler Beach State Park	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$320,000
Duane & Waterloo St. Park	86/87	SAFCO	\$30,000
E. 60th Street Dev	72/73	Land & Water Conservation	\$4,998
Eagle Rock	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$150,895
Eagle Rock	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$299,010

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Eagle Rock Acq	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$161,000
Eagle Rock Library	87/88	History Preservation	\$242,500
Eagle Rock Library	87/88	History Preservation	\$238,000
Echo Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$1,225,000
Echo Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$485,549
Echo Park Dev	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$22,780
Echo Park Lake Fishing Imp	81/82	Urban Fishing Program	\$890,735
Echo Park-Van Nuys/Sherman Oak	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$106,000
Echo Pk North Lake Dev	86/87	Land & Water Conservation	\$105,062
El Sereno North	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$755,275
El Sereno Park North Acq	76/77	Land & Water Conservation	\$233,681
El Sereno Recreation Center	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$663,750
Eleanor Green Roberts Aquatic	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$93,750
Elysian Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$375,000
Elysian Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$890,139
Elysian Park	89/90	1974 Bond Act	\$197,000
Elysian Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$516,090
Elysian Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$98,250
Elysian Park (Angels Point)	89/89	Land & Water Conservation	\$104,700
Elysian Park Development	82/83	Land & Water Conservation	\$126,000
Elysian Park Wtr Sys 1&2	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$168,612
Elysian Park- Broadway Area	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$354,555
Elysian Park- Simons Lodge	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$60,000
Elysian Pk Broadway Area Dev	86/87	Land & Water Conservation	\$102,500
Elysian Recreation Center	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$202,000
Elysian Regional Pk (500000)	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$0
Fairfax Area	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$377,658
Fehlhaber - Houk Park	78/79	1974 Bond Act	\$110,000
Fire Station #30	84/85	History Preservation	\$149,885
Fire Station #30	89/90	History Preservation	\$398,000
Flooring Rehabilitation	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Florence/Vermont Area	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$615,017
Fresno Rec Ctr	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$41,507
Fresno Recreation Center	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$613,385
Fresno Recreation Center Dev	79/80	Land & Water Conservation	\$203,200
Garnier Building	87/88	History Preservation	\$61,000
Gilbert Lindsay Center Dev	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$115,173
Gilbert Lindsay Park Dev	84/85	Land & Water Conservation	\$101,600
Glassell Rec Ctr Comm Bldg	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$618,750
Glassell Recreation Center	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$180,000
Glassell Recreation Ctr	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$733,697
Glassell/Sycamore Grove	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Green Meadows Rec Center	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$170,532
Green Meadows Recreation Cente	79/80	Land & Water Conservation	\$231,014
Griffith Observatory	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$392,563
Griffith Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$212,345
Griffith Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$485,000
Griffith Park	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$500,000

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Griffith Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$434,000
Griffith Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Griffith Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$247,500
Griffith Park	69/70	1964 Bond Act	\$0
Griffith Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Griffith Park (112500)	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$0
Griffith Park Dev	84/85	Land & Water Conservation	\$254,000
Griffith Park Development	83/84	Land & Water Conservation	\$141,759
Griffith Park Pecan Grove	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,112,440
Griffith Park Riverside Dev.	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$213,055
Griffith Park Swimming Pool*	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$416,261
Griffith Rec Ctr	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Hansen Dam	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$345,000
Hansen Dam	89/90	Public Resources Account	\$467,875
Hansen Dam Dev	67/68	Land & Water Conservation	\$166,667
Hansen Dam Park Dev. II	74/75	Land & Water Conservation	\$102,000
Hansen Dam Rec Area	66/67	1964 Bond Act	\$166,667
Hansen Dam Recreation Area	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$20,000
Hansen Dam Trail	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$66,000
Hanson Dam Lake	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$101,858
Harbor City Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,797,020
Harbor Lake	86/87	SAFCO	\$200,000
Harbor Lake Fishing Imprvmt*	81/82	Urban Fishing Program	\$1,710,000
Harbor Reg Pk Dev	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$750,000
Harbor Regional Park	90/91	Environmental License Plate Fund	\$197,000
Harbor Regional Park Dev	66/67	Land & Water Conservation	\$100,000
Hart/De Soto Park	81/82	1976 Bond Act	\$70,000
Harvard Rec Ctr	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$78,750
Hazard Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$116,000
Hazard Park Dev	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$99,960
Hermon Ave Rec Center Dev	80/81	Land & Water Conservation	\$151,800
Highland Park Senior Center	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$135,000
Highland Park Senior Center	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$67,500
Hollenbeck Lake	86/87	1984 Bond Act Lakes	\$1,000,000
Hollenbeck Park	92/93	Land & Water Conservation	\$73,508
Hollenbeck Park Dev., Phase II	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$560,000
Hollywood Rec Center	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Japanese American Museum	96/97	General Fund	\$29,550
Japanese-American Nat'l Museum	97/98	Natural Resources Infrastructure	\$492,500
Japanese-American Nat'l Museum	97/98	Natural Resources Infrastructure	\$492,500
Jim Gilliam Park Development	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$642,882
John Quimby Park	80/81	Land & Water Conservation	\$202,400
Kagel Canyon Park Dev	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$198,010
Knapp Ranch Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$107,932
Knapp Ranch Park	66/67	1964 Bond Act	\$166,666
Knapp Ranch Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$85,000
Korean American Museum	98/99	General Fund	\$246,250
La Brea Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$1,305,000

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
La Brea Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$1,996,017
La Brea Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$835,000
La Tuna Canyon (129510)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Lake Hollywood Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$20,000
Lake View Terrace*	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$102,411
Lakeview Terrace Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$361,167
Lakeview Terrace Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$141,510
Lanark Recreation Center	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$125,000
Las Palmas Senior Center	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$37,500
Laurel Canyon Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$269,768
Laurel Canyon Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$119,995
Laurel Canyon Park	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Leslie N Shaw Park	80/81	1974 Bond Act	\$430,596
Lincoln Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$1,305,000
Lincoln Prk Lake Fishing Imp.	81/82	Urban Fishing Program	\$990,000
Los Angeles Neighborhood Gardn	75/76	Land & Water Conservation	\$29,592
Los Angeles Park Lighting	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$40,168
Los Feliz Hills School	90/91	Public Resources Account	\$0
Louise Rec Center (70000)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Macarthur Park Dev	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$250,000
Macarthur Park Development	82/83	Land & Water Conservation	\$126,000
Manchester Park Dev	84/85	Land & Water Conservation	\$139,700
Manchester Rec. Ctr.	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$206,250
Mar Vista Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$150,000
Mar Vista Rec Center	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$53,986
Mar Vista Recreation Center	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$146,000
Mar Vista Recreation Center	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$460,039
Marina Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$0
Martin Luther King Jr. Park	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$509,890
Martin Luther King, Jr. Prk*	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$675,000
Mt. Carmel Park	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$330,586
Mt. Carmel Park	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$250,833
Mt. Carmel Recreation Center	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$1,530,000
Normandale Rec Center	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$639,661
North Atwater Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$450,000
North Hollywood Rec Ctr	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$7,500
North Hollywood Recreation Ctr	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$107,932
North Hollywood Sr Citizen Ctr	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$82,001
North Pacoima Gym	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$460,039
North Pacoima Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$16,497
O Melveny Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$700,000
O'Melveny Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$35,920
O'Melveny Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
O'Melveny Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$37,500
O'Melveny Park Ph III	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$345,709
Oakwood Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$238,000
Oakwood Recreation Center Dev	85/86	Land & Water Conservation	\$192,523
Old City Hall	87/88	History Preservation	\$300,000

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Pacoima Senior Center	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$106,827
Palms Community Bldg	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$544,287
Palms Recreation Center	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$119,463
Pan Pacific Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$500,000
Panorama City Area Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$334,677
Panorama City Area Park	80/81	1976 Bond Act	\$200,000
Park Extension	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$158,000
Paxton Recreation Center	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$1,538,173
Paxton Recreation Center Dev	79/80	Land & Water Conservation	\$152,400
Pepperdine Area Park Acq	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$0
Pepperdine U. Park (750000)	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Pico-Hauser (636596)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Porter Ranch Trails	72/73	Land & Water Conservation	\$48,577
Portsmouth Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$53,986
Portsmouth Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$200,000
Ramona Gardens Park Dev	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$123,825
Rancho Cienega Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$277,000
Rancho Cienega Sports Center	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$477,960
Rancho Cienega Sports Center	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$35,844
Rancho Cienega Sports Center	80/81	1974 Bond Act	\$209,365
Rancho Cienega Sports Center*	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$885,000
Rancho Cienega Rec. Ctr.	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$223,000
Reynier Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$450,000
Rose Hill Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$200,000
Rosecrans Rec Ctr	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Ross Snyder Rec Ctr*	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$525,000
Runyon Canyon	91/92	Land & Water Conservation	\$106,500
Runyon Canyon	94/95	Habitat Conservation Trails/Prog	\$100,000
Runyon Canyon Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$240,000
San Vicente Mountain Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$743,000
San Vicente Mountain Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$200,000
Santa Bar/Vermont (750000)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Santa Barbara Western Park	82/83	1976 Bond Act	\$750,000
Santa Barbara-Western Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$300,000
Santa Barbara/Western Area	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$726,400
Santa Barbara/Western Park*	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$318,750
Santa Monica Mtns Park	71/72	1964 Bond Act	\$400,000
Sepulveda Rec Area	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Sepulveda Basin Garden Center	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$25,000
Sepulveda Basin Rec Area	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$300,000
Sepulveda Dam Rec Area Dev	68/69	Land & Water Conservation	\$244,983
Sepulveda Dam Recreation Area	66/67	1964 Bond Act	\$166,667
Sepulveda Rec Center	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$28,125
Serrania Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$376,381
Shadow Ranch	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$368,000
Silverlake Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,514,663
Slauson Rec Ctr.	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$1,570,000
South Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$419,375

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
South Park Development	83/84	Land & Water Conservation	\$210,862
Sports Field Lighting	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$292,500
St Andrews Park Dev	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,133,232
St. Andrews Center	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$1,586,000
State Street	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$1,536,000
Stocker/La Brea Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$201,375
Stonehurst Rec Ctr	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$56,250
Stoner Rec Center	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$53,986
Stoney Point	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$100,000
Stoney Point Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$45,000
Strathern Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$93,750
Strathern Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$110,625
Sun Valley Equis. Trail	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$60,000
Sun Valley Rec Center	78/79	1974 Bond Act	\$19,510
Sunland Rec Ctr	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$13,125
Sutton Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$302,960
Svang-Na Village Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,639
Sycamore Grove Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$30,000
Sycamore Grove Park Dev.	93/94	Land & Water Conservation	\$127,000
Sylmar Rec Center	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$101,250
Sylmar Rec Ctr(paxton Rec Ctr)	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$22,500
Sylmar Recreation Center	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$656,000
Tarzana Recreation Center	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$58,668
Temescal Canyon	73/74	1964 Bond Act	\$56,046
Temescal Canyon Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Tennis Court Improvements	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$187,500
Theresa Lindsay Senior Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$291,690
Theresa Lindsay Senior CTR.*	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$65,755
Tujunga Neighborhood Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$53,290
Valley Plaza Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$309,020
Valley Plaza Rec Ctr	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$1,040,000
Van Ness Rec Center	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$273,080
Van Ness Recreation Center	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$82,917
Van Nuys Senior Cen (200000)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Van Nuys Sherman Oaks Rec Ctr	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$251,185
Van Nuys/Sherman Oaks Rec Ctr	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Various City Parks	83/84	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$269,628
Various Facilities-Lighting	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$63,750
Various Facilities-Lighting	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$241,320
Various Facilities-Lighting	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$261,810
Various Parks	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$300,000
Various Recreation Facilities	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$16,065
Various-Energy Conservation	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$106,200
Various-Handicapped	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$104,898
Various-Park Lighting	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$205,776
Various-Sports Area Lighting	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$20,409
Venice Beach	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$334,000
Verdugo/San Rafael Mtns.	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$101,250

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

City of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Vermont Canyon Area	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$650,000
Wabash Recreation Center	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$21,862
Warner Ranch Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$227,000
Wattie Gardens	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$1,440,000
Wattles Garden Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$126,750
Watts Mini-Park (25070)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Weddington Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$206,920
West Valley Sr.Citizens Ctr.	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$1,275,000
West Valley Swimming Pool	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$2,750,000
West Wilshire Multipurpose Ctr	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$412,500
West Wilshire Rec Ctr	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$308,052
Westchester Recreation Center	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$375,000
Westwood Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$400,000
Wilbur Debris Basin	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$143,250
Will Rogers SB Dev.	71/72	Land & Water Conservation	\$207,536
Wilmington Rec Ctr	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$152,760
Wilmington Rec Ctr	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$29,651
Woodland Hills Recreation Ctr	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$100,000
Woodley Avenue Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$184,430
Woodman/Van Nuys Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$315,945
Mono County			
Crowley Lake Dev	71/72	Land & Water Conservation	\$40,054
		Agency Total	\$93,920,479

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Los Angeles County			
Aboretum	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$45,000
Agoura Area County Park	80/81	1974 Bond Act	\$10,000
Agoura Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$90,000
Alondra Park	93/94	Land & Water Conservation	\$45,822
Alondra Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$134,500
Alondra Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$570,525
Altadena County Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$206,960
Altadena Crest Trails	97/98	National Recreational Trails	\$47,000
Apollo Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$150,000
Arcadia Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$60,123
Arcadia Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$67,000
Arcadia Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$250,000
Arcadia Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$90,000
Athens Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Atlantic Avenue County Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$37,500
Atlantic Avenue Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$27,882
Avocado Heights	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$198,750
Avocado Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$13,529
Baldwin Hills	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$304,680
Baldwin Hills	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,200,000
Baldwin Hills	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$500,000
Baldwin Hills	82/83	1974 Bond Act	\$386,418
Baldwin Hills	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$367,350
Baldwin Hills Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$380,750
Baldwin Hills Park Acq	79/80	Land & Water Conservation	\$0
Baldwin Hills Park Acq. II	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$0
Baldwin Hills Reg Co Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$706,350
Baldwin Hills Regional Park	75/76	1964 Bond Act	\$600,000
Baldwin Hills Regional Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$700,000
Baldwin Hills Regional Pk	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$900,000
Baldwin Hills SRA	88/89	SLAG	\$9,850,000
Bassett Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$66,000
Beach Facilities	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$197,000
Beach Refurbishment	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$57,370
Beach Refurbishment Dvlp't Plan	88/89	SLAG	\$0
Beaches Development/Ainsworth	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$300,000
Belvedere County Park	76/77	1974 Bond Act	\$186,417
Belvedere County Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$105,000
Belvedere County Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$309,677
Belvedere County Park Dev	76/77	Land & Water Conservation	\$172,930
Belvedere Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$9,873
Belvedere Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$40,737
Belvedere Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$60,000
Belvedere Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$72,333
Belvedere Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$229,000
Belvedere Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$272,305
Belvedere Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$262,500

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>EY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Belvedere Park	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$97,534
Belvedere Park Dev	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$197,969
Belvedere Park Pool Dev.	82/83	Land & Water Conservation	\$98,314
Bethune Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Bikeway Access Improvements	90/91	Trails	\$35,371
Bodger Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$200,000
Bonelli	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$41,000
Bonelli Park	85/86	1984 Bond Act Lakes	\$500,000
Bouquet Canyon Park-Dev	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$1,086,747
Bouquet Canyon Park/E.Martin	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$97,534
Brea Heights Acquisition	88/89	SLAG	\$4,634,804
Brea Heights Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$696,147
Calabasas High School Pool	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$80,000
Campenella Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$163,500
Camrose Bungalows	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,788,600
Canyon Country	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$700,000
Canyon Country Cty Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$150,000
Castaic Afterbay Dev	71/72	Land & Water Conservation	\$1,323,375
Castaic Lake	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$200,000
Castaic Lake	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Castaic Lake SRA	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$240,000
Castaic Lake SRA Dev.	80/81	Land & Water Conservation	\$200,000
Catalina Island	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$17,203
Catalina Island	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$112,956
Catalina Island	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$504,351
Catalina Island	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$500,000
Cerritos Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Cerritos Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$28,130
Cerritos Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$30,000
Cerritos Park Development	90/91	Land & Water Conservation	\$26,625
Cerritos Regional Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$56,250
Charles White Park	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$203,400
Charles White Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$30,000
Charter Oak Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$30,000
Chumash Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$23,621
City Terrace	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$170,439
City Terrace Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$34,243
City Terrace Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$115,945
City Terrace Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$290,000
City Terrace Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$500,000
City Terrace Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$95,000
City Terrace Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$99,000
City Terrace Pool	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$10,382
Col. Leon H. Washington Park	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$179,520
Cold Creek	98/99	Habitat Conservation Riparian	\$328,000
Cold Creek Trail	97/98	Habitat Conservation Trails/Prog	\$187,500
Countrywood County Park Dev	80/81	Land & Water Conservation	\$217,557
Countrywood Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$15,040

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Countrywood Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$141,893
County of Riverside	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$205,664
Crescenta Valley (Mt Lowe)	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$106,000
Crescenta Valley (Mt Lowe)	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$375,000
Crescenta Valley Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$141,000
Crescenta Valley Reg Co Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$326,250
Dalton Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$30,097
Deb's Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$105,000
Deb's Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$60,000
Del Aire County Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$117,973
Del Aire Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$85,600
Del Valle	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$312,500
Descanso Gardens	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$59,200
Devils Punchbowl Park	82/83	1980 Bond Act	\$125,000
Diamond Bar Park #3	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$47,450
Diamond Bar Park #3	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$250,000
Diamond Bar Park #3	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Dockweiler State Beach	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$220,000
Dockweiler State Beach	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$88,800
Earvin (Magic) Johnson Park	81/82	Land & Water Conservation	\$121,440
Earvin (Magic) Johnson Park	77/78	Land & Water Conservation	\$711,700
Earvin (Magic) Johnson Park	82/83	Land & Water Conservation	\$98,314
East Compton County Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$706,350
Eaton Canyon Park	91/92	Land & Water Conservation	\$53,250
El Cariso Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$112,956
El Cariso Park Development	90/91	Land & Water Conservation	\$26,625
Enterprise/Bethune/Mona Parks	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$105,841
Ernest E. Debs Regional Park	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$86,700
Everett Martin Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$100,000
Farjardo Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$90,000
Famsworth County Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$199,140
Famsworth Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$22,579
Famsworth Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$300,000
Famsworth Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$142,605
Frank G Bonelli Reg Pk	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$79,689
Frank G Bonelli Reg Pk O&M	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$26,000
Friendship Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Friendship Regional Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$412,038
Friendship Regional Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$706,350
Friendship Regional Park Dev.	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$752,094
Gates Canyon	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$402,237
Glassell Pool Dev	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$457,200
Glendale Acquisition	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$100,000
Gloria Herr Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$93,950
Grape Arbor Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$29,625
Griffith Park Visitor Center	76/77	1964 Bond Act	\$788,716
Gun Avenue Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$100,000
Hacienda&sycamore Canyon Co Pk	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$682,773

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Hart Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$200,000
Hart Park (170546)	83/84	1980 Bond Act	\$0
Hart Park Renovation	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$195,000
Hart Park/Martin Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$89,231
Hasley Canyon	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$307,097
Helen Keller Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$151,875
Herbert Ave. Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Heritage Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$120,000
High Country Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$650,000
Highland-Camrose Bungalows	87/88	SAFCO	\$500,000
Historic Janes House (225000)	83/84	Environmental License Plate Fund	\$0
Hollywood Bowl	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$227,500
Hollywood Bowl	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$200,000
Hollywood Bowl	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$692,208
Hollywood Bowl	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$172,000
Hollywood Bowl	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$1,350,000
Jesse Owens Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$189,343
Jesse Owens Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$144,828
Jesse Owens Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$200,000
Jesse Owens Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$75,000
Jesse Owens Park	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$97,534
Jesse Owens Park Dev	83/84	Land & Water Conservation	\$101,600
John Anson Ford Conference Cen	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$30,000
John Anson Ford Cult Arts Ctr	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$210,000
John Anson Ford Cultural Arts	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$595,423
John Anson Ford Cultural Arts	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$96,350
John Anson Ford Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$92,667
John Anson Ford Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$74,669
John Anson Ford Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$65,000
John Anson Ford Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$100,000
John Anson Ford Theater	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$211,540
John Anson Ford Theater	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$186,979
Kenneth Hahn Regional Park	75/76	Land & Water Conservation	\$1,775,873
La Canada Trails	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$24,000
La Mirada Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$106,600
La Mirada Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$220,000
La Mirada Recreation Area	93/94	Land & Water Conservation	\$60,960
Ladera Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$89,964
Ladera Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$200,000
Ladera Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$168,000
Ladera Park Dev.	85/86	Land & Water Conservation	\$73,546
Lane Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$100,000
Lane Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$206,857
Lennox Park	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$200,000
Loma Alta Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$79,295
Loma Alta Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$189,296
Lopez Dam Rec Area (150000)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Los Angeles Co Comm Gardens Dv	75/76	Land & Water Conservation	\$12,691

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Malibu Bluffs	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$180,000
Malibu Bluffs Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
Malibu Bluffs Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$581,963
Malibu Equestrian	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$706,211
Malibu Equestrian Center	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$246,000
Malibu Equestrian Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$1,000,000
Malibu Equestrian Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
Malibu Local Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$500,000
Manhattan State Beach	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$234,000
Manhattan State Beach	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$215,000
Manzanita Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$5,913
Mariposa County Park (64691)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Marshall Canyon Trail	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$135,701
Marshall Canyon Trail	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$18,600
Martin Park	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$75,497
Martin Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$26,349
Mary McCleod Bethune Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$16,875
Mayberry Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$50,000
Michillinda Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$192,270
Mona Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Montecito Hills Rgnl Pk	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$100,000
Mountain Meadows Park	68/69	Land & Water Conservation	\$520,992
Mt Lowe Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$428,383
Mt Lowe Park Dev	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$107,696
Newhall Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
North Oaks/Martin Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$51,165
North Region	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$60,000
Oakgrove County Park	69/70	1964 Bond Act	\$186,119
Obregon Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$48,000
Obregon Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$200,000
Obregon Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$50,000
Obregon Park Dev	83/84	Land & Water Conservation	\$50,498
Obregon/Bassett Parks	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$78,050
Otterbein Regional Park	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$1,760,000
Otterbein Regional Park	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$1,999,000
Otterbein Regional Pk(1000000)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Pamela Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$30,000
Pan Pacific Park	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$124,989
Pan Pacific Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$520,525
Pan Pacific Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$339,243
Pan Pacific Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$206,857
Pan Pacific Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$1,000,000
Pan Pacific Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$1,039,691
Pan Pacific Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$481,512
Peck Water Conservation Park	85/86	1984 Bond Act Lakes	\$150,000
Placerita Canyon	97/98	National Recreational Trails	\$11,000
Placerita*Everett Martin Parks	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$223,064
Play Equipment And Bleachers	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$127,695

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Plummer County Park	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$400,000
Point Dume (121400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Point Dume Community Center	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$97,534
Pt. Vicente	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$31,000
Pt. Vicente Ocean Vista Park	80/81	1976 Bond Act	\$212,800
Quail Summit Park	86/87	1980 Bond Act	\$350,000
Quail Summit Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$85,992
Redondo State Beach	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$217,000
Redondo State Beach	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$400,000
Redondo State Beach	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$367,000
Rimgrove Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$8,319
Robinson Botanic Gardens	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$59,200
Roger Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Roof Repairs	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$200,000
Roosevelt Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$209,000
Roosevelt Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$146,857
Roosevelt Park Dev	87/88	Land & Water Conservation	\$5,099
Rose Hills Regional Pk	66/67	1964 Bond Act	\$900,000
Rowland Heights	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$249,750
Rowlands Heights Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$286,418
S.Clari.Val/Castiac Spts Cplx	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$876,600
Salazar Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$93,294
Salazar Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$212,118
Salazar Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$125,000
Salazar Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$100,000
Salazar Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$37,500
Salto Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
Salto Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$670,525
San Angelo Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$11,793
San Angelo Park (235500)	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$0
San Dimas Canyon Park	84/85	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$150,000
San Dimas Canyon Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$125,000
San Dimas Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$66,644
San Dimas Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$27,607
San Dimas Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$100,000
Santa Clarita Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$30,000
Santa Clarita Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$66,301
Santa Fe Dam	86/87	1984 Bond Act Lakes	\$225,000
Santa Fe Dam	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$180,750
Santa Fe Dam Rec Area	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$1,249,691
Saybrook Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$12,530
Schabarum Regional Park	76/77	Land & Water Conservation	\$1,342,370
Schabarum Regional Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,315,000
Skyline Trail	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$250,000
Skyline Trail Dev	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$254,000
Sorensen Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$148,853
South Coast Botanic Gardens	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$75,000
South Jetty Trails	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$84,250

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
South Torrance Beach (150000)	67/68	1964 Bond Act	\$0
Stimson Park	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$73,200
Stimson Park	86/87	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$128,009
Stimson Park (6750)	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$0
Sumac Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$212,510
Sumac Park	81/82	1976 Bond Act	\$91,391
Summitridge Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$350,000
Sunshine Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Sylvan Glen Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$250,000
Sylvan Glen Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$0
Sylvan Glen/Bassett Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$215,000
Torrance County Beach	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$240,000
Torrance/Redondo Beach	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$216,496
Val Verde Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,100,000
Val Verde Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$250,000
Valencia Glen Park	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$120,000
Valencia Glen Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$25,000
Valley Circle Equestrian Trail	90/91	Trails	\$110,000
Valleydale Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$50,000
Various 1st Dist. Play Areas	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Various County Parks	83/84	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$255,959
Various Parks	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$50,000
Various Parks	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$700,000
Various Parks	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$150,000
Various Parks	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$1,109,200
Various Parks	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$200,000
Various Parks O&M	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$59,491
Various Parks - 1st District	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$429,793
Various Parks - 2nd District	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$504,793
Various Parks - Ballfields	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$37,089
Various Parks - Play Equipment	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$125,000
Various Parks - Roofing	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$141,000
Various Parks O & M	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$250,128
Various Parks(o And M)	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$25,000
Various Parks-Doors & Hardware	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$29,175
Various Parks-Gen Improvements	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$52,320
Various Parks-Handicapped Imp.	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$0
Various Parks-Operation-Maint	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$236,018
Various Parks-Security Lights	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$50,000
Various Parks-Swimming Pools	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$175,000
Various Parkso And M	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$145,663
Various Pks. Ballfield Renov.	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$94,172
Vasquez Rocks Co Park (301400)	71/72	1964 Bond Act	\$0
Vasquez Rocks Park	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$125,000
Vasquez Rocks Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$257,589
Vasquez Rocks Reg Park	65/66	1964 Bond Act	\$426,421
Venice Beach	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$640,000
Verdugo Hills	82/83	1980 Bond Act	\$100,000

California Department of Parks and Recreation
All Projects funded by Agency

4/13/99

County of Los Angeles

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Veteran's Park	93/94	Land & Water Conservation	\$50,800
Veterans Memorial Park	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$29,252
Veterans Memorial Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$38,625
Veterans Memorial Park	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$1,136,418
Veterans Memorial Park Dev	74/75	Land & Water Conservation	\$377,400
Victoria Co Park (85500)	77/78	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$0
Victoria Park	92/93	Land & Water Conservation	\$49,476
Victoria Park	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$300,000
Victoria Regional Park	66/67	1964 Bond Act	\$894,000
W Carson Park Acq	80/81	Land & Water Conservation	\$0
Walnut Creek Acq	78/79	Land & Water Conservation	\$35,369
Walnut Creek Park	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$103,500
Washington Park Dev	87/88	Land & Water Conservation	\$5,099
West Rowland Heights	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$200,856
West Rowland Heights	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$500,000
Westmont County Park (386418)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
White Pt./Royal Palms	89/90	1988 Bond Act Per Capita	\$1,100,000
Whittier Narrows	89/90	Roberti-Z'berg-Harris	\$75,000
Whittier Narrows	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$296,320
Whittier Narrows	82/83	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$97,534
Whittier Narrows Dam Dev	87/88	Land & Water Conservation	\$77,400
Whittier Narrows Dam Rec Area	70/71	1964 Bond Act	\$149,782
Whittier Narrows No Lake Dev	73/74	Land & Water Conservation	\$121,748
Whittier Narrows Park	87/88	1986 Bond Act	\$100,000
Whittier Narrows Rec Area	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$90,000
Whittier Narrows Rec Area	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$993,395
Whittier Narrows Rec Area Dev.	90/91	Land & Water Conservation	\$54,181
Wilbur/Tampa Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$275,000
Will Rogers Pool	78/79	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$4,350
Will Rogers State Beach	87/88	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$320,000
Will Rogers/John Anson Ford	76/77	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$338,250
Willowbrook	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$265,665
Willowbrook County Park	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$1,249,691
Willowbrook Park	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$600,000
Zuma Beach	85/86	1984 Bond Act Regional Competitive	\$550,000
Sutter County			
Kenneth Hahn Regional Park	81/82	Land & Water Conservation	\$1,030,550
Nicolaus Landing Acq	81/82	Land & Water Conservation	\$0
		Agency Total	\$106,175,594

California Department of Parks and Recreation

4/13/99

All Projects funded by Agency

Los Angeles (bch/hrbrs)

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Grant Program</u>	<u>Grant Amounts</u>
Los Angeles County			
7 Beaches Sanitary Systems	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$96,400
Abalone Cove Beach Acq	72/73	Land & Water Conservation	\$875,701
Beach Improvements	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$159,549
Cabrillo Beach	82/83	1980 Bond Act	\$107,400
Cabrillo Beach	77/78	1974 Bond Act	\$145,000
Cabrillo Beach Boat Fac.(41400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Cabrillo Park (107400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Chautauqua Concession Refurb.	88/89	SLAG	\$175,000
Dockweiler Beach (141400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
El Pescador Beach(100000)	76/77	1974 Bond Act	\$0
El Segundo Beach (101400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
El Sol Beach	75/76	1974 Bond Act	\$337,039
Escondido Beach (185000)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Knob Hill	88/89	SLAG	\$709,225
La Costa Beach (271400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Malibu Beaches	81/82	1980 Bond Act	\$38,315
Malibu Beaches (71400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Malibu Beaches (107400)	82/83	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Manhattan State Beach	88/89	SLAG	\$117,200
Nicholas Canyon	82/83	1976 Bond Act	\$217,500
Nicholas Canyon Beach	80/81	1974 Bond Act	\$17,691
Nicholas Canyon Beach	77/78	1974 Bond Act	\$217,961
Nicholas Canyon Beach Acq	70/71	Land & Water Conservation	\$1,486,650
Point Vicente Beach(85000)	76/77	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Portuguese Bend (355000)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Puerco Beach (345000)	74/75	1974 Bond Act	\$0
Redondo Beach	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$90,485
Redondo/Torrance Beach	78/79	1974 Bond Act	\$185,000
Royal Palms State Beach	88/89	SLAG	\$855,315
South Bay Bicycle Trail Dev	71/72	Land & Water Conservation	\$626,918
Topanga Beach	83/84	1980 Bond Act	\$124,810
Topanga Beach	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$265,500
Topanga State Beach Dev.	83/84	Land & Water Conservation	\$114,808
Torrance County Beach	88/89	SLAG	\$417,116
Torrance Redondo Beach(150000)	71/72	1964 Bond Act	\$0
Torrance-Redondo-Venice Beach	80/81	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$189,343
Transient Dock Restrooms	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$91,500
Venice Beach	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$111,165
Venice Beach	79/80	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$21,375
Venice Beach	83/84	1976 Bond Act	\$791
White Point Beach	83/84	1976 Bond Act	\$107,400
White Point Beach (156091)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
White Point/Royal Palms Sb (20	78/79	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Will Rogers Beach (141400)	77/78	1976 Bond Act	\$0
Will Rogers Beach Parking	81/82	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$445,750
Will Rogers State Beach	88/89	SLAG	\$876,144
Will Rogers State Beach	85/86	Roberti-Z'Berg	\$108,750